

S-asymptotically ω -periodic mild solutions to some fractional integro-differential equations with infinite delay

Enock R. Oueama-Guengai and Gaston M. N'Guérékata

Abstract: Under appropriate conditions and using the Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, we prove that the semilinear fractional integro-differential equation in a Banach space X $u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} Au(s)ds + F(t, u_t)$, $t \geq 0$, and $u_0 = \phi$, possesses S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solutions where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ an abstract space, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ a closed (not necessarily bounded) linear operator and $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow X$ a continuous function, $u_t : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ with $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$ is an associated history function to the function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$.

Keywords: S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions; fractional integro-differential equations; mild solutions

MSC2010: 34K13, 34G20

Dedicated to Professor Constantin Corduneanu on the occasion of his 90th birthday

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the study of the existence of S -asymptotically ω -periodic mild solutions of the following semilinear fractional integro-differential equation in a Banach space X

$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} Au(s)ds + F(t, u_t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad u_0 = \phi \quad (1.1)$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ an abstract space to be defined later, $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ a closed (not necessarily bounded) linear operator and $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \times X \rightarrow X$ a continuous function.

For any function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$, we define the associated history function $t \rightarrow u_t$ for $t \geq 0$ as $u_t : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ with $u_t(\theta) = u(t + \theta)$.

The concept of S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions is relatively new. It was introduced in the literature by Henriquez et al. ([5, 6]) and turns out to include the class of asymptotically periodic functions in the sense of M. Fréchet. Qualitative properties of such functions were discussed in [14]. Several papers dealing with the existence of S -asymptotically ω -periodic solutions to fractional differential equations and evolution equations with or without delay have been published recently (cf. for instance [1, 3, 5, 8, 13, 14, 16]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we introduce the problem and recall some preliminary facts in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to information about S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions. The main result is Theorem 4.4 which we present in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ will denote a complex Banach space, $L(X)$ the space of all bounded linear operators $X \rightarrow X$, $BC((-\infty, 0], X)$, (resp. $BUC((-\infty, 0], X)$) the space of all bounded continuous (resp. bounded uniformly continuous) functions $(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$, and $C_0(I, X)$ the space of all continuous functions $h : I \rightarrow X$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|h(t)\| = 0$ where $I = \mathbb{R}^+$, or $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1. A closed linear operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ with a dense domain $D(A)$ is called a sectorial operator of type $\bar{\omega}$ and angle θ if there exists constants $M > 0$, $\bar{\omega}$ and an angle $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ such that its resolvent is outside the sector

$$\bar{\omega} + \sum_{\theta} := \{\lambda + \bar{\omega} : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\arg(-\lambda)| < \theta\}$$

and

$$\|(\lambda - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda - \bar{\omega}|} \quad \lambda \notin \bar{\omega} + \sum_{\theta}$$

Definition 2.2. ([10]) Let $\alpha > 0$ and A be a closed linear operator densely defined in X with resolvent $\rho(A)$. A will be called the generator of a solution operator if there exists $\bar{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}$ and a strongly continuous function $E_{\alpha} : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L(X)$ such that $\{\lambda^{\alpha} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \bar{\omega}\} \subset \rho(A)$ and

$$\lambda^{\alpha-1}(\lambda^{\alpha} - A)^{-1} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} E_{\alpha}(t) x dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \bar{\omega}, \quad x \in X.$$

In this case E_{α} is called a solution operator generated by A and $E_{\alpha}(0) = I$.

Let's assume that A is a sectorial operator with $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$, then A is the generator of a solution operator given by

$$E_\alpha(t) = \int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t} \lambda^{\alpha-1} (\lambda^\alpha - A)^{-1} dt, \quad t \geq 0$$

with Γ a suitable path lying outside the sectorial $\overline{\omega} + \sum_\theta$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a sectorial operator with $M > 0$, $\overline{\omega} < 0$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$.*

Then there exists a constant $C_{(\theta, \alpha)} > 0$ depending on θ and α , such that

$$\|E_\alpha(t)\| \leq \frac{C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M}{1 + |\overline{\omega}| t^\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

From the above, it is easy to verify that E_α is integrable. In the border cases $\alpha = 1, 2$, the family $E_\alpha(t)$ corresponds respectively to a C_0 -semigroup and a cosine family.

In what follows, $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ will denote a linear seminormed space of functions $(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ satisfying the fundamental axioms of Kato and Hale below:

(**A₀**) If the function $x :]-\infty, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is continuous on $I = [0, T]$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, then for every $t \in I$, the following conditions hold:

(1) $x_t \in \mathcal{B}$

(ii) $\|x(t)\| \leq H\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}}$

(iii) $\|x_t\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq C_1(t) \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|x(s)\| + C_2(t)\|x_0\|_{\mathcal{B}}$

where H is a constant $H \geq 0$, $C_1 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function and $C_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a locally bounded function, H, C_1, C_2 are independent of $x(\cdot)$

(**A₁**) For the function x_0 in (**A₀**), x_t is a $\mathcal{B}$ -valued continuous function on I .

(**A₂**) The space $\mathcal{B}$ is complete.

Let's recall some examples of phase spaces.

Example 2.4. $BUC((-\infty, 0], X)$ the Banach space of all bounded and uniformly continuous functions $\nu : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ equipped with the supnorm is a phase space.

Example 2.5. $C_0((-\infty, 0], X)$ the Banach space of all bounded and continuous functions $\nu : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow X$ such that $\nu(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ equipped with the norm $|\nu| := \sup_{s \leq 0} \|\nu(s)\|$ is also a phase space.

3 S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions

Definition 3.1. (M. Fréchet) A function $f \in BC(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{X})$ is said to be asymptotically ω -periodic for some $\omega > 0$ if there exists $g \in P_\omega(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{X})$ and $h \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{X})$ such that

$$f(t) = g(t) + h(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The space of all asymptotically ω -periodic $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ will be denoted $AP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$.

Definition 3.2. ([5]) A function $f \in BC(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{X})$ is said to be S -asymptotically ω -periodic for some $\omega > 0$ if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t + \omega) - f(t)) = 0.$$

In this case, ω will be called an asymptotic period of f . It is clear that if ω is an asymptotic period for f , then every $k\omega$, $k = n = 1, 2, \dots$ is also an asymptotic period for f .

We denote by $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ the space of such functions. It is known that $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ is a Banach space under the supnorm and that the inclusion $AP_\omega(\mathbb{X}) \subset SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ is strict.

Example 3.3. ([5]). Let c_0 be space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_n$ where $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ equipped with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n|$, and define $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ by

$$f(t) = \left(\frac{2nt}{t^2 + n^2} \right)_n.$$

Then for every $\mu > 0$, f is S -asymptotically ω -periodic, but not asymptotically ω -periodic.

Note that other examples of S -asymptotically ω -periodic functions are given in our previous work [14].

Proposition 3.4. Let $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$. Then we have

- (i) $f_a(t) := f(t + a)$ is in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ for any $a > 0$.
- (ii) $h(t) := \frac{1}{f(t)}$ is $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ if $\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \|f(t)\| > 0$.
- (iii), If $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ and $A : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a bounded linear operator, then $Af(t) \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are proved in ([14]).

(iii) is straightforward. □

$SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ turns out to be a Banach space when equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

Lemma 3.5. ([14]) Let f be S -asymptotically ω -periodic $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ then there exists $T > 0$ such that $\|f(t + \omega) - f(t)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{a}$, for all $t > T$. Then the function $F_a(t)$ defined by

$$F_a(t) := \int_t^{t+a} f(s) ds \tag{3.1}$$

belongs to $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$ for $a > 0$ fixed.

Theorem 3.6. ([1]) Let $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}$ be two Banach spaces and $\phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ a function which is uniformly continuous on bounded sets of $\mathbb{X}$ and such that ϕ maps bounded sets of $\mathbb{X}$ into bounded sets of $\mathbb{Y}$. Then for all $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$, the composition $\phi \circ f := [t \rightarrow \phi(f(t))]$ is in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{Y})$

Corollary 3.7. Let the map $\phi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be such that there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|\phi(u) - \phi(v)\| < L\|u - v\|$ for any u, v in a bounded set of $\mathbb{X}$, then if $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$, the composition $\phi \circ f := [t \rightarrow \phi(f(t))]$ is in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{Y})$.

Proof. Uniform continuity of ϕ is obvious. Now let K be a bounded set in $\mathbb{X}$ and $u \in K$. Then we have

$$\|\phi(u)\| = \|\phi(u) - \phi(0) + \phi(0)\| \leq L\|u\| + \|\phi(0)\| < \infty.$$

Which means $\phi(K)$ is bounded.

The result follows from the theorem above. □

Definition 3.8. ([5]) A continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets if for every bounded set $K \subset \mathbb{X}$, the set $\{f(t, x) : t \in [0, \infty), x \in K\}$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t + \omega, x) - f(t, x)) = 0$$

uniformly in $x \in K$.

Definition 3.9. A continuous function $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every bounded set $K \subset \mathbb{X}$, there exists $L_{\epsilon, K} > 0$ and $\delta_{\epsilon, K} > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall x, y \in K, \|x - y\| < \delta_{\epsilon, K}.$$

Theorem 3.10. ([5]) Let $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function which is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets. Let $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be S -asymptotically ω -periodic. The Nemytskii operator

$$\phi(\cdot) := f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$$

is S -asymptotically ω -periodic.

Corollary 3.11. Let $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a function with the property that there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall x, y \in K$$

for any bounded set $K \subset \mathbb{X}$. Suppose also that f is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets and $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a S -asymptotically ω -periodic function. Then

$$\phi(\cdot) := f(\cdot, u(\cdot))$$

is S -asymptotically ω -periodic.

Proof. Consider $K = \overline{R(u)}$, where $R(u)$ is the range of u . Since $R(u)$ of $u(\cdot)$ is a bounded set, it follows that ϕ is a bounded function. Moreover, by assumption, there exists L such that

$$\forall t > L \quad \|f(t + \omega, u(t + \omega)) - f(t, u(t + \omega))\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and from Theorem 3.10,

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta_{\epsilon, K} > 0, L_{\epsilon, K} > 0$ such that $\forall t > L_{\epsilon, K}, \|f(t, u(t + \omega)) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\|u(t + \omega) - u(t)\| < \delta$.

Therefore $\forall t > \max\{L_{\epsilon, K}, L\}$

$$\|f(t + \omega, u(t + \omega)) - f(t, u(t))\| \leq \|f(t + \omega, u(t + \omega)) - f(t, u(t + \omega))\| +$$

$$\|f(t, u(t + \omega)) - f(t, u(t))\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

The proof is now completes. □

4 fractional integro-differential equation

From now on, we denote by $\mathcal{M}$, the following space of admissible functions:

$$\mathcal{M} := \{f \in BC(\mathbb{R}, X) : f|_{\mathbb{R}^+} \in SAP_{\omega}(X)\}.$$

This is a Banach space under the sup norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(t)\|.$$

Let's consider the semilinear fractional integro-differential equation (SFIDE)

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} Au(s)ds + F(t, u_t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u_0 &= \phi \end{cases}$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ a phase space, $A : D(A) \subset \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ a sectorial operator which is the generator of a solution operator $E_\alpha(t)$, and $F : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ a continuous function.

Definition 4.1. ([11]) A bounded continuous function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is said to be a mild solution to (SFIDE) if it satisfies the following

$$\begin{cases} u(t) &= E_\alpha(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)ds, \quad t > 0, \\ u(t) &= \phi(t), \quad t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.2. ([14]) Let $f \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$. Then the function defined by

$$G(t) = \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)f(s)ds$$

is also in $SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$.

Theorem 4.3. Consider equation (SFIDE) and suppose

(H1) The function $F : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a continuous function and there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\|F(t, u) - F(t, v)\| \leq L\|u - v\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \forall u, v \in K$$

for any bounded set $K \subset \mathcal{B}$.

(H2) F is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic on bounded sets

(H3) $C^* := \sup_{t \geq 0} C_1(t) < \infty$.

Then equation (SFIDE) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{M}$ provided $L < \frac{\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{2})}{C^* MC_{(\theta, \alpha)} |\bar{\omega}|^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi}}$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}$; then it is easy to see that $u_s \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by (H2) $F(s, u_s) \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$. So in view of the lemma above,

$$\int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)ds \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X}).$$

Consequently $E_\alpha(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)ds \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$. Which means that the operator $\Omega : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$(\Omega u)(t) := E_\alpha(t)\phi(0) + \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)ds$$

is well-defined.

Now let $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ be solutions to (SFIDE). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\Omega u)(t) - (\Omega v)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)[F(s, u_s) - F(s, v_s)]ds \right\| \\
&\leq L \int_0^t \|E_\alpha(t-s)\| \|u_s - v_s\|_{\mathcal{B}} ds \\
&\leq L \int_0^t \|E_\alpha(t-s)\| C_1(s) \sup_{0 \leq \sigma \leq s} \|u(\sigma) - v(\sigma)\| ds \\
&\leq L \|u - v\|_\infty \int_0^t C_1(s) \|E_\alpha(t-s)\| ds \\
&\leq LC^* \|u - v\|_\infty \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M}{1 + |\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} ds \\
&\leq LC^* \|u - v\|_\infty \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M}{1 + |\bar{\omega}|(s)^\alpha} ds \\
&= LC^* C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M \frac{|\bar{\omega}|^{\frac{-1}{\pi}}}{\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{\alpha})} \|u - v\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|(\Omega u) - (\Omega v)\|_\infty \leq LC^* C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M \frac{|\bar{\omega}|^{\frac{-1}{\pi}}}{\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{\alpha})} \|u - v\|_\infty$$

Now we use the Banach's fixed point principle to complete the proof. $\square$

Now we will use the Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem to prove our second result.

Theorem 4.4. (*Krasnosel'skii*) Let M be a closed convex and non-empty subset of a Banach space and P, Q are two operators such that

(i) $Pu + Qv \in M$, whenever $u, v \in M$

(ii) P is compact and continuous

(iii) Q is a contraction mapping

Then there exists $z \in M$ such that $z = Pz + Qz$

Now we make the following assumptions

(T1) $F : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ is a function which is uniformly S -asymptotically ω -periodic and asymptotically uniformly continuous on bounded sets.

(T2) There exists $C_F > 0$ such that

$\|F(t, x)\| < C_F(1 + \|x\|_{\mathcal{B}})$, for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in \mathcal{B}$.

(T3) There exists $L_g > 0$ such that for all $u, v \in BC([0, \infty), \mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$,
 $\|g(u) - g(v)\| < L_g \|u - v\|_\infty$

We assume $C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M L_g < 1$.

Now we state and prove our second result.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume (T1)-(T2)-(T3). Then Eq(1) has at least one mild solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{M}$ if we assume that $E_\alpha(t)$ is compact for any $t > 0$.*

Proof. Note that (T3) implies that there exists a constant $C_g > 0$ such that $\|g(u)\| \leq C_g(1 + \|u\|)$, for any $u \in BC([0, \infty), \mathbb{X})$.

We consider the same operator Ω as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 and use several steps to achieve our conclusion.

Step 1: Let B_γ be defined by

$$B_\gamma := \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \|u\|_\infty \leq \gamma\}, \text{ where } \gamma > \max \left\{ \frac{C_F}{1 - (MC_{(\theta, \alpha)} + C_F)}, 0 \right\}$$

Now define the operators

$$P, Q : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

by

$$(Pv)(t) := E_\alpha(t)v_0 = E_\alpha(t)\phi(0)$$

and

$$(Qu)(t) := \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)ds$$

Using (T2) we get

$$\|(Pv)(t) + (Qu)(t)\| \leq \|E_\alpha(t)\| \|\phi(0)\| + \int_0^t \|E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_s)\| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M}{1 + |\bar{\omega}| t^\alpha} \left\{ \|\phi(0)\| + \int_0^t \|F(s, u_s)\| ds \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{(\theta, \alpha)} M}{1 + |\bar{\omega}| t^\alpha} \{ \|\phi(0)\| + C_F(1 + \|u\|)t \}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|t^\alpha} \{\gamma + C_F(1+\gamma)t\}$$

$$\leq C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M \{\gamma + C_F(1+\gamma)\}$$

$$\leq \gamma$$

We conclude that for all $u, v \in B_\gamma$, $Pu + Qv \in B_\gamma$.

Step 2 : Show that the operator P is contractive.

Indeed , let $u, v \in SAP_\omega(\mathbb{X})$

Then we have

$$\|(Pu)(t) + (Pv)(t)\| \leq \|E_\alpha(t)\| \|g(u) - g(v)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|t^\alpha} L_g \|u - v\|_\infty$$

Thus we obtain

$$\|Pu - Pv\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|t^\alpha} L_g \|u - v\|_\infty$$

$$\leq C_{(\theta,\alpha)}ML_g \|u - v\|_\infty$$

We conclude in using the assumption $C_{(\theta,\alpha)}ML_g < 1$. Finally P is contractive.

Step 3: Show that the operator Q is continuous on B_γ .

Let $(u_n) \subset B_\gamma$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in B_γ . Then in view of Definition 3.9 $F(s, u_n(s)) \rightarrow F(s, u(s))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $s \in [0, \infty)$.

Now we have

$$\|(Qu_n)(t) - (Qu)(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s) [F(s, u_n(s)) - F(s, u(s))] ds \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} \|F(s, u_n(s)) - F(s, u(s))\| ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} C_F(2 + \|u_n(s)\| + \|u(s)\|) ds \\
 &\leq 2C_F(1+\gamma) \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} ds \\
 &= 2C_F(1+\gamma)C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M \frac{|\bar{\omega}|^{-\frac{1}{\pi}}}{\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{\alpha})} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Qu_n \rightarrow Qu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by the Lebesgues's Dominated convergence theorem.

Step 4: The set (Qu_n) where $(Qu_n) \subset B_\gamma$ is uniformly bounded. Indeed for all n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(Qu_n)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_0^t E_\alpha(t-s)F(s, u_n(s))ds \right\| \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} \|F(s, u_n(s))\| ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} C_F(1 + \|u_n(s)\|) ds \\
 &\leq C_F(1+\gamma) \int_0^t \frac{C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M}{1+|\bar{\omega}|(t-s)^\alpha} ds \\
 &= C_F(1+\gamma)C_{(\theta,\alpha)}M \frac{|\bar{\omega}|^{-\frac{1}{\pi}}}{\alpha \sin(\frac{\pi}{\alpha})}
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that (Qu_n) is uniformly bounded.

Step 5: Q is compact.

First, let's show that the set $\{(Qu)(t) : u(t) \in B_\gamma\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}$ for each $t > 0$.

To this end, fixed $t > 0$ and ε_0 such that $0 < \varepsilon_0 < t$. We have

$\left\{ (Q_{\varepsilon_0}u)(t) := \int_0^{t-\varepsilon_0} E_\alpha(t-\varepsilon_0-s)F(s, u(s))ds \right\}$ is uniformly bounded for $u \in B_\gamma$.

This with the assumption that $E_\alpha(\varepsilon_0)$ is compact yield the set $\{E_\alpha(\varepsilon_0)(Q_{\varepsilon_0}u)(t) : u \in B_\gamma\}$ is relatively compact.

Since from Definition 2.2, $E_\alpha(0) = I$ and $E_\alpha(t)x$ is continuous for any $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we obtain

$$E_\alpha(\varepsilon_0)(Q_{\varepsilon_0}u)(t) = E_\alpha(\varepsilon_0) \int_0^{t-\varepsilon_0} E_\alpha(t-\varepsilon_0-s)F(s, u(s))ds$$

which shows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0} E_\alpha(\varepsilon_0)(Q_{\varepsilon_0}u)(t) = (Qu)(t)$$

We conclude that $\{(Qu)(t) : u(t) \in B_\gamma\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}$.

Finally, Q is compact as claimed.

From all of the above, we conclude that Eq(1) has at least one mild solution $u(t) \in \mathcal{M}$, using the Krasnosel'ski's fixed point theorem. $\square$

Remark 4.6. These results are new even in the context of asymptotically ω -periodic functions.

References

- [1] J. Blot, P. Cieutat, G.M. N'Guérékata, *S-asymptotically ω -periodic functions and applications to evolution equations*, African Diaspora J. Math. **12** (2) (2011), 113-121.
- [2] A. Caicedo, C. Cuevas, G.M. Mophou, G.M. N'Guérékata, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of some semilinear functional differential and integro-differential equations with infinite delay in Banach spaces*, J. Franklin Institute 349 (2012), 1.24.

- [3] W. Dimbour, G. Mophou, G.M. N'Guérékata, *S-asymptotically periodic solutions for partial differential equations with finite delay*, Electr. J. Diff. Equ., Vol.2011(2011), No.117, 1-12.
- [4] R. Gorenflo, F. Mainardi, *On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **118** (2000) 283-299.
- [5] H.R. Henriquez, M. Pierri, P. Táboš, *On S-asymptotically ω -periodic functions on Banach spaces and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 343 (2008) 1119-1130
- [6] H. R. Henríquez, M.Pierre, P.Táboas *Existence of S-asymptotically ω -periodic solutions for abstract neutral equations*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc 78(2008), 365-382.
- [7] N. Heymans, I. Podlubny, *Physical interpretation of initial conditions for fractional differential equations with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives*, Rheol. Acta **45**(5)(2006), 765-771.
- [8] C. Lizama, G.M. N'Guérékata, *Bounded mild solutions for semilinear integro-differential equations in Banach spaces*, Oper. Theory **68** (2010), 207-227.
- [9] A. Lunardi, *Analytic and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*, Birkhauser, Basel, Boston-Berlin, 1995
- [10] A. Ménil, G. Mophou, G.M. N'Guérékata, *Asymptotic behavior of bounded mild solutions of some functional differential and fractional differential equations*, 24(5-6)(2011), 401-416.
- [11] G. Mophou, G.M. N'Guérékata, V. Valmorin, *Asymptotic behavior of mild solutions of some fractional functional integro-differential equations*, African Disapora J. Math.**16** (2013), 70-81.
- [12] Gaston M. N'Guérékata, *Spectral theory for bounded functions and applications to evolution equations*, Nova Science Publishers, New York 2017.
- [13] S. Nicola, M. Pierri, *A note on S-asymptotically ω -periodic functions*, Nonlinear Analysis, R.W.A. **10** (2009), 2937-2938.
- [14] E. R. Oueama, G.M. N'Guérékata, *On S-asymptotically ω -periodic and Bloch periodic mild solutions to some fractional differential equations in abstract spaces*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. (2018),1-8, DOI.org/10.1002/mmas5082.
- [15] J. Pruss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications*, Monographs Math, vol. 87, Birkhauser-Verlag, 1993.

- [16] J.Zhao, H. Ding, G.M. N'Guérékata, *S-asymptotically periodic solutions for an epidemic model with superlinear perturbation*, Adv. Difference Equ., 2016, paper No. 221, 7 pp.

E. R. Oueama

Département de Mathématiques et Informatique,

Faculté des Sciences, Université de Bangui,

B.P. 908 Bangui,

Central African Republic

E-mail: oueama@yahoo.fr

G. M. N'Guerekata

Department of Mathematics, Morgan State University,

1700 East Cold Spring Lane,

Baltimore, MD 21251,

USA

E-mail: Gaston.N'Guerekata@morgan.edu