

**Generalized Periodic and Antiperiodic Solutions
for the Heat Equation in R^1**

ZHIXIONG CAI AND NICOLAE H. PAVEL

0. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to prove, via m -dissipative operators, the existence and, in some cases, the uniqueness of solutions of the following initial-boundary-value problems

(P_1)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-1)$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, 1), \quad u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, 1) + \alpha u(t, 0) \quad t \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq 0 \quad (0-2)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (0-3)$$

(P_2)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-1)$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) + \beta u_x(t, 0), \quad u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, 1) \quad t \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad (0-4)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (0-3)$$

where u_s denotes the partial derivative of u with respect to s .

(P_3)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + f(t, u(t, x)) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-5)$$

$$u(t, 0) = -u(t, 1), \quad u_x(t, 0) = -u_x(t, 1) \quad t \geq 0 \quad (0-6)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (0-3)$$

where $f : [0, +\infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function. The case that $u \mapsto f(t, u)$ is γ -Hölder continuous with $0 < \gamma < 1$ is also discussed.

The existence and uniqueness of solutions of (P_1) and (P_2) with $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ is studied via m -dissipative operators in $X = C([0, 1]; R) \equiv C([0, 1])$, while (P_3) is studied via compact analytic C_0 -semigroup in X . In the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, (P_1) was studied by Pazy.[3, p234] Precisely, Pazy proved that the second order differential operator with periodic boundary conditions

$$A_p u = u'', \quad D(A) = \{u \in X_p, u', u'' \in X_p\} \quad (0-7)$$

where $X_p = \{u \in C([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1)\}$ generates a compact analytic semigroup in X_p .

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ap} &= \{u \in C([0, 1]), u(0) = -u(1)\} \\ A_{ap} u &= u'', \quad D(A) = \{u \in X_{ap}, u', u'' \in X_{ap}\} \end{aligned} \quad (0-7')$$

Mainly, we prove in section 2 that the second order differential operator $A_{ap} u = u''$ with antiperiodic boundary conditions generates also a compact analytic semigroup in X_{ap} . In above notations, the subscripts p and ap are abbreviations of periodic and antiperiodic respectively.

In section 1, we prove that $Au = u''$ with generalized boundary conditions (0-2) or (0-4) is m -dissipative for every $\alpha > 0$ or $\beta > 0$. In section 3, the existence and uniqueness of periodic/antiperiodic solutions for some ordinary second order differential equations are discussed, via the surjectivity of m -dissipative operators involving A_p or A_{ap} .

Remark 0.1 In the next sections we announce some preliminary results on this subject. More complete results will be published later. In the case of L^2 spaces, these problems have been recently studied in [1] and [2].

I. GENERALIZED PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

1.1 The Problem (P_1) .

In this section we deal with the following perturbed periodic boundary value problem

(P_1)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-1)$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, 1), \quad u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, 1) + \alpha u(t, 0) \quad t \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq 0 \quad (0-2)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (0-3)$$

Denote by $X = C([0, 1])$, and $A_\alpha u = u''$ with

$$D(A_\alpha) = \{u \in X, \quad u', u'' \in X, \quad u(0) = u(1), \quad (1-1) \\ u'(0) = u'(1) + \alpha u(0) \quad \alpha \geq 0\}$$

The following result holds.

THEOREM 1.1. *The linear operator A_α defined above is m -dissipative in X .*

PROOF: We need to show that

(i) For every $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in X$, there is a unique solution w of the problem

$$\lambda^2 w(x) - w''(x) = g(x) \quad (1-2) \\ w(0) = w(1), \quad w'(0) = w'(1) + \alpha w(0)$$

i.e. $R(\mu I - A) = X$, $\forall \mu > 0$, and

(ii) $\|w\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \|g\|$, or equivalently,

$$\|(\mu I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu}, \quad \forall \mu > 0. \quad (1-3)$$

Let $g \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$. A direct computation yields a solution of (1.2), which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) = & \frac{1}{2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left[\int_0^x \cosh \lambda(x - y - \frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy + \int_x^1 \cosh \lambda(x - y + \frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\alpha \cosh \lambda(x - \frac{1}{2})}{\alpha \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \int_0^1 \cosh \lambda(y - \frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1-4)$$

So (i) is done. To prove (ii) we rewrite (1.4) as

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left[\int_0^x E_1(\lambda, \alpha, x, y) g(y) dy + \int_x^1 E_2(\lambda, \alpha, x, y) g(y) dy \right] \quad (1-5)$$

where

$$E_1(\lambda, \alpha, x, y) = \frac{\alpha \sinh \lambda(1 - x) \sinh \lambda y + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh \lambda(x - y - \frac{1}{2})}{\alpha \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \geq 0,$$

and

$$E_2 = \frac{\alpha \sinh \lambda x \sinh \lambda(1 - y) + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh \lambda(x - y + \frac{1}{2})}{\alpha \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \geq 0.$$

Therefore we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |w(x)| & \leq \frac{\|g\|}{2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left[\int_0^x E_1(\lambda, \alpha, x, y) dy + \int_x^1 E_2(\lambda, \alpha, x, y) dy \right] \\ & = \frac{\|g\|}{\lambda^2} \left[1 - \frac{\alpha \cosh(x - \frac{1}{2})}{\alpha \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} + 2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\|g\|}{\lambda^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Now by Theorem 2.1 in [4, p66] we get

THEOREM 1.2. For every $u_0 \in D(A_\alpha)$, the problem (P_1) has a unique mild (integral) solution

$$u(t) = S_\alpha(t)u_0, \quad t \geq 0$$

where $S_\alpha(t)$ is the C_0 -strongly continuous semigroup generated by A_α on $\overline{D(A_\alpha)}$.

1.2 The Problem (P_2) .

By the same approach as in section 1.1, we can discuss the initial-boundary-value problem (P_2)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-1)$$

$$u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) + \beta u_x(t, 0), \quad u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, 1) \quad t \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad (0-4)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (0-3)$$

Let $A_\beta u = u''$ with

$$D(A_\beta) = \{u \in X, \quad u', u'' \in X, \quad u(0) = u(1) + \beta u'(0), \quad u'(0) = u'(1), \quad \beta \geq 0\} \quad (1-5)$$

Then we have the following result.

THEOREM 1.3. The operator A_β defined by (1-5) is m -dissipative in X .

PROOF: Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we now consider, for $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in X$, the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 w(x) - w''(x) &= g(x) \\ w(0) &= w(1) + \beta w'(0), \quad w'(0) = w'(1), \quad \beta \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1-6)$$

The solution of (1.6) is given by

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{2\lambda \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left[\int_0^x \cosh \lambda(x-y-\frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy + \int_x^1 \cosh \lambda(x-y+\frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda\beta \sinh \lambda(x-\frac{1}{2})}{2 \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2} + \lambda\beta \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \int_0^1 \sinh \lambda(y-\frac{1}{2}) g(y) dy \right], \quad (1-7)$$

with

$$|w(x)| \leq \frac{\|g\|}{\lambda^2}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

which implies that A_β is dissipative and $\|(\mu I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu}$, $\forall \mu \geq 0$. Hence A_β generates a C_0 -semigroup on $\overline{D(A_\beta)}$.

Therefore, the following holds.

THEOREM 1.4. *For every $u_0 \in D(A_\beta)$, $\beta \geq 0$, the problem (P_2) has a mild (integral) solution*

$$u(t) = S_\beta(t)u_0,$$

where $S_\beta(t)$ is the C_0 -semigroup generated by A_β on $\overline{D(A_\beta)}$.

II. ANTIPERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS IN $C[0, 1]$

Consider the initial value problem with anti-periodic boundary conditions (P_3)

$$u_t(t, x) = u_{xx}(t, x) + f(t, u(t, x)) \quad t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (0-5)$$

$$u(t, 0) = -u(t, 1), \quad u_x(t, 0) = -u_x(t, 1) \quad t \geq 0 \quad (0-6)$$

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (0-3)$$

We will prove, under suitable conditions, the existence of local and global classical solutions. The asymptotic behavior of the global solutions as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ is also discussed.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} X_{ap} &= \{u \in C([0,1]), u(0) = -u(1)\} & \|u\| &= \max_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |u(x)| \\ A_{ap}u &= u'', \quad D(A) = \{u \in X_{ap}, u', u'' \in X_{ap}\} \end{aligned} \quad (0-7)$$

THEOREM 2.1. *The linear operator A_{ap} defined above is the infinitesimal generator of a compact analytic semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$ on X_{ap} .*

PROOF: Let $g \in X_{ap}$, $\lambda = \rho e^{i\theta}$ with $\rho > 0$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 u(x) - u''(x) &= g(x) \\ u(0) &= -u(1), \quad u'(0) = -u'(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2-1)$$

The unique solution of (2-1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{2\lambda \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2}} \left[- \int_0^x \sinh \lambda(x-y-\frac{1}{2})g(y) dy + \int_x^1 \sinh \lambda(x-y+\frac{1}{2})g(y) dy \right] \\ &= (R(\lambda^2; A_{ap})g)(x), \quad \lambda \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2-2)$$

Denote by $\mu = \operatorname{Re} \lambda = \rho \cos \theta > 0$, applying elementary inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \cosh \frac{\lambda}{2} \right| &\geq \left| \sinh \frac{\lambda}{2} \right| \geq \sinh \frac{\mu}{2}, \\ \left| \sinh \lambda(x-y \pm \frac{1}{2}) \right| &\leq \left| \cosh \lambda(x-y \pm \frac{1}{2}) \right| \leq \cosh \mu(x-y \pm \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &\leq \frac{\|g\|}{2|\lambda \cosh \frac{\mu}{2}|} \left[\int_0^x |\sinh \lambda(x-y-\frac{1}{2})| dy + \int_x^1 |\sinh \lambda(x-y+\frac{1}{2})| dy \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\|g\|}{2|\lambda| \sinh \frac{\mu}{2}} \left[\int_0^x \cosh \mu(x-y-\frac{1}{2}) dy + \int_x^1 \cosh \mu(x-y+\frac{1}{2}) dy \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\|g\|}{\cos \theta |\lambda|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|R(\lambda, A_{ap})\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda| \cos(\frac{1}{2} \arg \lambda)}, \quad \forall \lambda \neq 0.$$

where $\lambda \in \Sigma(\theta_0) = \{\lambda : |\arg \lambda| < \theta_0\}$ for fixed $\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_0 < \pi$. Furthermore,

$$\|R(\lambda, A_{ap})\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \quad \text{where } M = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}}.$$

In fact we have just proved in above that $\rho(A_{ap}) \supset \Sigma(\theta_0)$. Then by Hille and Pazy [3, p60], A_{ap} generates an analytic semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$. Therefore, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|T(t+h) - T(t)\| \leq h \|A_{ap} T(t)\| \leq \frac{Ch}{t}, \quad t > 0$$

Hence $T(t)$ is continuous in the uniform operator topology for $t > 0$. And for $\lambda \in \Sigma(\theta_0)$, $R(\lambda, A_{ap})$ maps a bounded set in X_{ap} into a bounded set in $D(A_{ap})$. Therefore, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, $R(\lambda, A_{ap})$ is a compact operator, which implies that $T(t)$, $t > 0$ is a compact semigroup.

In the Banach space X_{ap} , the problem (P_3) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= A_{ap}u + Fu, \quad t \geq 0 \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2-3}$$

where $F : C \rightarrow C$ is given by

$$(Fu)(x) = f(u(x)), \quad \forall u \in C = C[0, 1].$$

Definition 2.1. A function u is said to be a mild solution of (2.1) (respectively, (2.3)) on an interval $[0, T_0]$, $T_0 > 0$ if u is continuous from $[0, T_0]$ into X_{ap} and

$$u(t) = T(t)u_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0.$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Pazy [3, p235], we have

THEOREM 2.2. For every continuous real valued function f and every $u_0 \in X_{ap}$, there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that the initial boundary value problem (P_3) has a unique mild solution on $[0, t_0)$ and either $t_0 = \infty$ or if $t_0 < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{t \uparrow t_0} \|u(t, x)\| = \infty.$$

Assume now that $f : R \rightarrow R$ is Hölder-continuous.

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq L|s - t|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \quad (2-4)$$

Define $F : X_{ap} \rightarrow X_{ap}$ by $(Fu)(t) = f(u(t))$. Then F is also Hölder-continuous, since $|f(u(t)) - f(v(t))| \leq L|u(t) - v(t)|^\alpha$ leads to

$$|(Fu)(t) - (Fv)(t)| \leq L|u(t) - v(t)|^\alpha \leq L\|u - v\|^\alpha,$$

i.e. $\|Fu - Fv\| \leq \|u - v\|^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1.$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be Hölder-continuous (as indicated by (2-4)). Then for every $u_0 \in X_{ap}$, there is $T = T(u_0, f) > 0$ such that (P_3) has a unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; R) \cap C^1((0, T); R)$.*

PROOF: The abstract form of (P_3) in X_{ap} is

$$u' = A_{ap}u + Fu, \quad u(0) = u_0$$

with A_{ap} -compact analytic semigroup generator, $0 \in \rho(A_{ap})$. F is Hölder-continuous. So the result follows.

III. APPLICATIONS TO SECOND ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

The m -dissipativity of the second order differential operator $Au = u''$ with generalized periodic boundary conditions as in (P_1) and (P_2) can be used to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the second order equation

$$u''(t) = B(t)u(t) + \lambda u(t) + f(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (3-1)$$

where $f \in C([0, 1]; R)$, with boundary conditions

$$u(0) = u(1), \quad u'(0) = u'(1) + \alpha u(0), \quad \alpha \geq 0. \quad (3-2)$$

or

$$u(0) = u(1) + \beta u'(0), \quad u'(0) = u'(1), \quad \beta \geq 0. \quad (3-3)$$

On the other hand we can also discuss the problem

$$u''(t) = B(t)u(t) + \lambda u(t) + f(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad \lambda \geq 0 \quad (3-4)$$

$$u(t+1) = -u(t) \quad t \geq 0 \quad (3-5)$$

with $t \rightarrow B(t)x$ 1-periodic in t and $u \rightarrow B(t)u$ an odd function. The mapping $(t, x) \mapsto B(t)x$ is continuous.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $B : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous, nondecreasing, odd function. Then*

$$u''(t) = Bu(t) + f(t) + \lambda u(t) \quad \lambda > 0$$

$$u(0) = -u(1), \quad u'(0) = -u'(1)$$

with f 1-antiperiodic, has a unique 1-antiperiodic solution in $C^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$.

PROOF: By Theorem 2.1, the operator

$$Au = u'', \quad u \in X_{ap} = \{u \in C([0, 1]), u(0) = -u(1)\}$$

generates an analytic compact semigroup. In particular, A is m -dissipative on X_{ap} .

Let $\tilde{B} : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ be defined by

$$(\tilde{B}u)(t) = Bu(t).$$

For $u \in X_{ap}$, $u(0) = -u(1)$. Therefore

$$(\tilde{B}u)(0) = Bu(0) = -Bu(1) = -(\tilde{B}u)(1).$$

So $\tilde{B} : X_{ap} \rightarrow X_{ap}$.

Since B is monotone accretive, \tilde{B} is monotone accretive on X_{ap} . Then $-A + \tilde{B}$ is m -accretive. Thus

$$R(\lambda I - A + \tilde{B}) = X_{ap}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

So given $-f \in X_{ap}$, there exists $u \in X_{ap}$ such that

$$\lambda u - u'' + \tilde{B}u = -f$$

But that means u is the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) &= Bu(t) + \lambda u(t) + f(t), & \lambda > 0 \\ u(0) &= -u(1), & u'(0) = -u'(1) \end{aligned}$$

As $\lambda \downarrow 0$, we get the desired conclusion.

With the same approach, the following holds.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that:*

- (1) $(t, x) \rightarrow B(t)x$ from $[0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous;
- (2) For each $t \in [0, 1]$, $x \mapsto B(t)x$ is nondecreasing.

Then for every $f \in C([0, 1])$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, the problem (3.1) and (3.2) (respectively (3.1) and (3.3)) has a unique solution.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Aftabizadeh and N. H. Pavel, *Boundary value problems for second order differential equations and a convex problem of Bolza*, *Differential and Integral Equations* 2 (1989), 535-549.

2. S. Aizicovici and N. H. Pavel, *Anti-periodic solutions to a class of nonlinear differential equations in Hilbert spaces*, J. Functional Analysis (to appear).
3. A. Pazy, "Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
4. N. H. Pavel, "Nonlinear evolution operators and semigroups. Applications to PDE . Lecture Notes in Math. Vol 1260," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

