

On a Class of Regular Hamiltonians

Manuela GÎRȚU

Abstract. One considers the Hamiltonians $H = \varphi(K^2)$ for K^2 a regular Hamiltonian that is homogeneous of degree 2 in momenta p and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi'(t) \neq 0$ and $\varphi' + 2t\varphi'' \neq 0$ for every $t \in \text{Im}(K^2)$. One proves that H is regular and that its nonlinear connection coincides with that of K^2 . Also, the h -covariant derivative of H coincides with that of K^2 . Some consequences of these facts are derived.

Keywords: regular Hamiltonians, homogeneous Hamiltonians, nonlinear connections

MSC 200: 53B60

Introduction

A regular, autonomous Lagrangian $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x, y) \rightarrow L(x, y)$ induces a semispray (second order differential equation) on the tangent manifold TM , for M a smooth, finite dimensional manifold, [2]. If $L = F^2$ is homogeneous of degree two in y , the said semispray reduces to a spray. P.L. Antonelli and D. Hrimiuc, [1], have considered a class of Lagrangians that are not homogeneous but generate sprays, too. They showed that the Lagrangians $L = \varphi(F^2)$ for $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with some properties, are regular Lagrangians that generate the same spray as F^2 . The geometry of these Lagrangians called φ -Lagrangians is interesting in many respects. Some applications of it in Ecology were pointed out.

A regular Hamiltonian $H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x, p) \rightarrow H(x, p)$ no longer defines a semispray, but if $K^2(x, p)$ is an homogeneous Hamiltonian of degree 2 in p , the Hamiltonians $H = \varphi(K^2)$ deserve a special attention. It is our purpose to study the geometry of these Hamiltonians, called φ -Hamiltonians, using the facts and methods as well as the terminology from the book [2]. First, we show that in the same conditions for φ as in Lagrangian case, the Hamiltonians $H = \varphi(K^2)$ are regular. Secondly, we prove that they define the same nonlinear connection as K^2 . A nonlinear connection on T^*M is a regular distribution called horizontal that is supplementary to the vertical distribution defined by the projection $\tau : T^*M \rightarrow M$. It was proved by R. Miron, [2], that any regular Hamiltonian defines a nonlinear connection. The fact that all Hamiltonians $\varphi(K^2)$ define the same nonlinear connections implies that the "horizontal part" of their geometry is very close to the geometry of K^2 (the pair (M, K^2) was called in [2] a Cartan space). As we shall see below the "vertical part" of their geometry is drastically different from that of K^2 . The manifold T^*M has a natural symplectic structure.

Thus the Hamiltonian fields X_H and X_{K^2} can be considered. It is easy to see these fields are linearly dependent on T^*M . Some comments on the duality between φ -Lagrangians and φ -Hamiltonians close the paper.

1 φ -Hamiltonians

Let $(U, (x^i))$ be a local chart on M . The indices i, j, k, \dots will range from 1 to $n = \dim M$ and the Einstein convention on summation will be in use.

The local coordinates on $\tau^{-1}(U)$ will be (x^i, p_i) and a change of coordinates $(x^i, p_i) \rightarrow (\tilde{x}^i, \tilde{p}_i)$ has the form

$$\tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \text{ rank} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \right) = n, \tilde{p}_i = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} p_j. \quad (1)$$

A local basis in T^*U is $\left(\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \dot{\partial}^i := \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right)$ and its dual is (dx^i, dp_i) .

A *Cartan space* is a pair $C^n = (M, K)$ such that

1° K is a positive function on T^*M , smooth i.e. C^∞ on $T^*M \setminus \{(x, 0)\}$ and continuous on the rest,

2° K is positively 1-homogeneous in the momenta (p_i) , that is $K(x, \lambda p) = \lambda K(x, p)$ for every $\lambda > 0$,

3° The matrix with the entries $g^{ij}(x, p) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^i \dot{\partial}^j K^2$ is positive defined.

The functions $(g^{ij}(x, p))$ behave like the local coefficients of a contravariant tensor field on M . One says that it defines a d -tensor field on T^*M . Here d is for "distinguished", see [2]. Let $(g_{jk}(x, p))$ be the inverse of the matrix $(g^{ij}(x, p))$. Then $(g_{jk}(x, p))$ define a covariant d -tensor field. The functions $\overset{\circ}{C}{}^{ijk} = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^k g^{ij} = -\frac{1}{4} \dot{\partial}^i \dot{\partial}^j \dot{\partial}^k K^2$, define a d -tensor field of type $(3, 0)$. This is totally symmetric. If it vanishes then a Cartan space reduces to a Riemannian space. One puts $\overset{\circ}{p}{}^i = g^{ij} p_j$. The following formulae holds by virtue of the homogeneity of K

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{p}{}^i &= \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^i K^2, \quad K^2 = g^{ij} p_i p_j = p_i \overset{\circ}{p}{}^i, \quad g^{ij} = \dot{\partial}^j \overset{\circ}{p}{}^i, \\ \overset{\circ}{C}{}^{ijk} p_k &= \overset{\circ}{C}{}^{ijk} p_j = \overset{\circ}{C}{}^{ijk} p_i = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.

Theorem 1. *If the function φ has the property*

$$\varphi'(t) \neq 0, \quad \varphi'(t) + 2t\varphi''(t) \neq 0 \quad \forall t \in \text{Im}(K^2), \quad (3)$$

then $H = \varphi(K^2)$ is a regular Hamiltonian.

PROOF. The Hamiltonian H is regular if the matrix with the entries $a^{ij}(x, p) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^i \dot{\partial}^j H$ is nondegenerate. We have $\dot{\partial}^j H = \varphi' \dot{\partial}^j K^2$ and then

$$a^{ij}(x, p) = \varphi' g^{ij}(x, p) + 2\varphi'' \overset{\circ}{p}^i \overset{\circ}{p}^j. \quad (4)$$

We look for the inverse of $(a^{ij}(x, p))$ in the form

$$g_{jk}(x, p) = \frac{1}{\varphi'} g_{jk} - cp_j p_k.$$

Using (2) in the condition $a^{ij} a_{jk} = \delta_k^i$ it comes out that c is uniquely determined as

$$c = \frac{2\varphi''}{\varphi'(\varphi' + 2K^2\varphi'')}. \quad (5)$$

Thus $H = \varphi(K^2)$ is regular. ■

If the quadratic form $a_{ij}(x, p)\xi^i\xi^j$, $(\xi) \in R^n$, is positive definite, the pair (M, H) is called a Hamilton space. If we put $p^i = a^{ik}p_k$, it follows

$$p^i = (\varphi' + 2\varphi''K^2)\overset{\circ}{p}^i. \quad (6)$$

We note that if $\varphi' + 2\varphi''K^2 = 0$, that is $\varphi'(t) = a \exp\left(-\int_0^t \frac{d\theta}{2\theta}\right)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $p^i = 0$ implies $a^{ik}p_k = 0$. Thus the matrix (a^{ik}) is singular.

The following examples of functions φ verifying (3) are taken from [1]

1. $\varphi(t) = at^m + b$, $t > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}\right\}$
2. $\varphi(t) = ae^t + b$,
3. $\varphi(t) = a \ln t + b$, $t > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$.

We note that the Hamiltonians K^2 and $aK^2 + b$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \neq 0$, have the same geometry. Thus in the above examples we may take $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ with no lose of generality.

A converse of Theorem 1 is as follows.

Theorem 1'. *Let H be a regular Hamiltonian and $\psi : R \rightarrow R_+$ a smooth function such that $\psi'(t) \neq 0$ and $\psi'' \neq 0$ for every $t \in \text{Im}(H)$. If the function $K(x, p) = \psi(H(x, p))$ is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in p , then K^2 is a regular Hamiltonian, that is the pair (M, K) is a Cartan space.*

PROOF. We have $\dot{\partial}^i K = \psi' \dot{\partial}^i H$. Contracting by p_i we get

$$p_i \dot{\partial}^i H = \frac{\psi}{\psi'} \quad (*)$$

using the Euler theorem on homogeneous function. Then we take $\dot{\partial}^j \dot{\partial}^i K = \psi'' \dot{\partial}^j H \dot{\partial}^i H + \psi' \dot{\partial}^j \dot{\partial}^i H$ and contract again by p_i . Since $\dot{\partial}^j K$ is homogeneous of degree 0 one gets

$$\frac{\psi''\psi}{\psi'} \dot{\partial}^j H + 2\psi' a^{ji} p_i = 0, \text{ where } a^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^i \dot{\partial}^j H.$$

If we put $z_i = \frac{p_i}{\psi}$, it follows

$$a^{ji} z_i = -\frac{\psi''}{2\psi'^2} \dot{\partial}^j H, \quad a^{ji} z_j z_i = -\frac{\psi''}{2\psi'^3}. \quad (**)$$

We compute $g^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}^i\dot{\partial}^j K^2$ and we find $g^{ij} = 2\psi\psi' a^{ij} + (\psi''\psi + \psi'^2)\dot{\partial}^i H\dot{\partial}^j H$. We look for its inverse in the form $g_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\psi\psi'} a_{jk} - dz_j z_k$, for a function d to be determined.

The condition $g^{ij}g_k = \delta_k^i$ gives, using (*) and (**) $d = -\frac{\psi''\psi + \psi'^2}{\psi\psi''}$ and so the proof is complete. ■

2 Nonlinear connection of a φ -Hamiltonian

Let $D_u\tau : T_u T^*M \rightarrow T_{\tau(u)}M$, $u \in T^*M$, be the differential of the projection τ . Then $V : u \rightarrow \text{Ker } D_u\tau := V_u$ is a regular distribution on T^*M called vertical distribution. It is locally spanned by $(\dot{\partial}^i)$, hence it is integrable. A *nonlinear connection* is a regular distribution $N : u \rightarrow N_u$ called horizontal such that

$$T_u T^*M = N_u \oplus V_u \text{ (direct sum) for every } u \in T^*M. \quad (7)$$

It is usual to take N as being locally spanned by the local d -vector fields

$$\delta_i = \partial_i + N_{ik}\dot{\partial}^k, \quad (8)$$

where the functions $(N_{ik}(x, p))$ are called the local coefficients of the given nonlinear connection. Conversely, $(N_{ik}(x, p))$ with a convenient behavior by a change of local coordinates on T^*M determines the nonlinear connection N . Now it is well known due to R. Miron that any regular Hamiltonian determines a nonlinear connection. He found ([2]) the coefficients $(N_{ij}(x, p))$ in the form

$$4N_{ij} = \{a_{ij}, H\} - (a_{ik}\dot{\partial}^k(\delta_j H) + a_{jk}\dot{\partial}^k(\partial_i H)), \quad (9)$$

where $\{, \}$ denotes the usual Poisson bracket. Note that $N_{ij} = N_{ji}$.

Let be $H = \varphi(K^2)$ a φ -Hamiltonian. If we denote by $(\overset{\circ}{N}_{ij})$ the coefficients of the nonlinear connection derived from K^2 , obviously we have

$$4\overset{\circ}{N}_{ij} = \{g_{ij}, K^2\} - (g_{ik}\dot{\partial}^k(\partial_j K^2) + g_{jk}\dot{\partial}^k(\partial_i K^2)). \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2. *The Hamiltonians K^2 and $\varphi(K^2)$ induce the same nonlinear connection on T^*M , that is,*

$$N_{ij}(x, y) = \overset{\circ}{N}_{ij}(x, p), \text{ for every } \varphi \text{ satisfying (3)}. \quad (11)$$

PROOF. By a calculation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_{ij}, H\} &:= (\dot{\partial}^k a_{ij})(\partial_k H) - (\dot{\partial}^k H)(\partial_k a_{ij}) \stackrel{(2,4)}{=} \\ &= \dot{\partial}^k \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'} g_{ij} - cp_i p_j \right) \varphi'(\partial_k K^2) - 2\varphi' \overset{\circ}{p}^k \partial_k \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'} g_{ij} - cp_i p_j \right) = \\ &= (\dot{\partial}^k g_{ij})(\partial_k K^2) - (\dot{\partial}^k K^2)(\partial_k g_{ij}) - c\varphi'(p_j \partial_i K^2 + p_i \partial_j K^2), \end{aligned}$$

since the other four terms cancel each other. Thus we get

$$\{a_{ij}, H\} = \{g_{ij}, K^2\} - c\varphi'(p_j \partial_i K^2 + p_i \partial_j K^2). \quad (12)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j H) &= a_{ik} (\dot{\partial}^k (\varphi' \partial_j K^2)) = a_{ik} (2\varphi'' \overset{\circ}{p}^k \partial_j K^2 + \varphi' \dot{\partial}^k \partial_j K^2) = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\varphi'} g_{ik} - c p_i p_k \right) (2\varphi'' \overset{\circ}{p}^k \partial_j K^2 + \varphi' \dot{\partial}^k \partial_j K^2) = \\ &= g_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j K^2) + 2 \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} p_i \partial_j K^2 - 2c\varphi'' K^2 p_i \partial_j K^2 - 2c\varphi' p_i \partial_j K^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last term takes the given form because of Euler Theorem applied to the 2-homogeneous function in p , $\partial_j K^2$. It results

$$a_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j H) = g_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j K^2) - \varphi' c p_i \partial_j K^2.$$

Thus the second term in (N_{ij}) from (9) is

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j H) + a_{jk} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_i H) &= g_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_j K^2) + g_{jk} \dot{\partial}^k (\partial_i K^2) - \\ &- c\varphi' (p_i \partial_j K^2 + p_j \partial_i K^2). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using (12) and (13) in (9) one gets $N_{ij} = \overset{\circ}{N}_{ij}$. ■

3 Canonical metrical N -linear connection of a φ -Hamiltonian

A linear connection D on T^*M is called an N -linear connection if: i) the distributions N and V are preserved by parallel translations defined by D and ii) the natural symplectic structure $\theta = dp_i \wedge dx^i$ is absolute parallel with respect to D , that is the skew-symmetric tensor field $\tilde{\theta}$ defining θ satisfies $D\tilde{\theta} = 0$.

The decomposition (7) produces decompositions of vector fields of tensor fields and of an N -linear connection. For vector fields we shall write $X = X^H + X^V$ and

$$D_X^H = D^{X^H}, \quad D_X^V = D_{X^V}, \quad (14)$$

are two operators in algebra of d -tensor fields on T^*M called h - and v -covariant derivations.

In the basis $(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^i)$ that is adapted to the decomposition (7) an N -linear connection has the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\delta_j} \delta_i &= H_{ij}^k \delta_k, & D_{\delta_j} \dot{\partial}^i &= -H_{kj}^i \dot{\partial}^k, \\ D_{\dot{\partial}^j} \delta_i &= C_i^{kh} \delta_h, & D_{\dot{\partial}^j} \dot{\partial}^i &= -C_h^{ij} \dot{\partial}^h, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where the functions (C_i^{kh}) define a d -tensor field and (H_{ij}^k) behave like the coefficients of a linear connection on M by a change of local coordinates on T^*M .

The h -covariant derivative denoted by $|_k$ is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X^i|_k &= \delta_k X^i + H_{sk}^i X^s, & \omega_j|_k &= \delta_k \omega_j - H_{jk}^s \omega_s, \\ g_{ij}|_k &= \delta_k g_{ij} - H_{ik}^s g_{sj} - H_{jk}^s g_{is} \text{ and so on.} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The v -covariant derivative denoted by ${}^k|$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} X^i|{}^k &= \dot{\partial}^k X^i + C_h^{ik} X^h, & \omega_j|{}^k &= \dot{\partial}^k \omega_j - C_j^{sk} \omega_s, \\ g^{ij}|{}^k &= \dot{\partial}^k g^{ij} + C_s^{ik} g^{sj} + C_s^{jk} g^{is} \text{ and so on.} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Let H be any Hamiltonian, N its nonlinear connection and $a^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}^i \dot{\partial}^j H$. An N -linear connection is called metrical if $a^{ij}|_k = 0$ and $a^{ij}|^k = 0$. One proves that there exists a unique N -linear connection i.e. $D\Gamma(N) = (H_{jk}^i, C_i^{jk})$ that is metrical and symmetrical i.e. $H_{jk}^i = H_{kj}^i, C_i^{jk} = C_i^{kj}$. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2}(\delta_j a_{hk} + \delta_k a_{jh} - \delta_h a_{jk}), \\ C_i^{jk} &= -\frac{1}{2} a_{ih}(\dot{\partial}^j a^{hk} + \dot{\partial}^k a^{jh} - \dot{\partial}^h a^{jk}) = -\frac{1}{2} a_{ih} \dot{\partial}^j a^{hk}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Let be now $H = \varphi(K^2)$ a φ -Hamiltonian. We have N and $D\Gamma(N)$ with H_{jk}^i and C_i^{jk} given by (18).

Similarly, for the Hamiltonian K^2 we have the nonlinear connection $\overset{\circ}{N} = N$ by Theorem 2 and the N -linear connection $D\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}(N) = (\overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^i, \overset{\circ}{C}_i^{jk})$, where these coefficients are obtained from (18) replacing (a^{ij}) by (g^{ij}) and (a_{ij}) by (g_{ij}) . We are interested to express $D\Gamma(N)$ using $D\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}(N)$. We prove

Theorem 3. *The canonical metrical N -linear connection $D\Gamma(N) = (H_{jk}^i, C_i^{jk})$ of a φ -Hamiltonian $H = \varphi(K^2)$ is given as follows*

$$H_{jk}^i = \overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^i, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_i^{jk} &= \overset{\circ}{C}_i^{jk} - \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'}(\overset{\circ}{p}^j \delta_i^k + \overset{\circ}{p}^k \delta_i^j) - \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi' + 2K^2 \varphi''} p_i g^{jk} - \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\varphi'' \varphi' - 2\varphi''^2}{\varphi'(\varphi' + 2K^2 \varphi'')} p_i \overset{\circ}{p}^j \overset{\circ}{p}^k. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

PROOF. We recall from [2, Prop.4.3, Ch.6] the following properties of the pair (M, K^2) .

$$\begin{aligned} K_{|j}^2 = 0, \quad K^2|_j = 2p^j, \quad p_{i|j} = 0, \quad p_i|_j = \delta_i^j, \\ \overset{\circ}{p}^i|_j = 0, \quad \overset{\circ}{p}^i|_j = g^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{|}^k$ and $\overset{\circ}{|}^k$ denotes the h - and v -derivatives with respect to $D\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}(N)$. From $p_{i|j} = 0$ it results $\delta_j p_i = H_{ij}^k p_k$ and $K_{|j}^2 = 0$ implies $(f(K^2))_{|j} = 0$ for any smooth function f . Then we have

$$\delta_j a_{hk} = \frac{1}{\varphi'} \delta_j g_{hk} - c p_s (H_{hj}^s p_k + H_{kj}^s p_h). \quad (22)$$

Using (22) the second factor in H_{jk}^i , denoted by G_{hjk} takes the form

$$G_{jkh} = \frac{1}{\varphi'} \overset{\circ}{G}_{jkh} - 2c p_h p_s H_{jk}^s, \quad (23)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{G}_{jkh}$ is the similar factor from $\overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^i$.

We contract (23) by a^{ih} given by (4). After some calculation we find $H_{jk}^i = \overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^i + \phi \overset{\circ}{p}^i p_s \overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^s$, where ϕ is a smooth function of K^2 . By some algebra it results $\phi = 0$, that is (19) holds.

In order to prove (20) we show first that

$$\dot{\partial}^j a^{hk} = \varphi' \dot{\partial}^j g^{hk} + 2\varphi'' (\overset{\circ}{p}^j g^{hk} + \overset{\circ}{p}^k g^{hj} + g^{jk} \overset{\circ}{p}^h) + 4\varphi''' \overset{\circ}{p}^k \overset{\circ}{p}^j \overset{\circ}{p}^h.$$

Then contracting this with a_{ih} , after some calculations one finds (20). ■

Corollary 1. *The h -covariant derivative of $D\Gamma(N)$ coincides with the h -covariant derivative of $D\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}(N)$.*

Indeed, this follows from $N = \overset{\circ}{N}$ and $H_{jk}^i = \overset{\circ}{H}_{jk}^i$. The same coincidences lead to

$$H_{|j} = 0, \quad p_{i|j} = 0.$$

4 Some final remarks

As T^*M is a symplectic manifold, we may associate to the functions H and K^2 the Hamiltonian vector fields

$$X_H = (\dot{\partial}^i H) \partial_i - (\partial_i H) \dot{\partial}^i, \quad X_{K^2} = (\dot{\partial}^i K^2) \partial_i - (\partial_i K^2) \dot{\partial}^i.$$

By $\dot{\partial}^i H = \varphi' (\dot{\partial}^i K^2)$ and $\partial_i H = \varphi' (\partial_i K^2)$ it results

$$X_H(x, p) = H(x, p) X_{K^2}, \quad (x, p) \in T^*M. \quad (24)$$

As H is a first integral of the dynamical system defined by X_H , that is, $X_H(H) = 0$, it follows

$$[X_H, X_{K^2}] = 0. \quad (25)$$

Thus, if $\{\psi_t^H\}$ the 1-parameter groups defined by H and K^2 , respectively, we have

$$\psi_t^H \circ \psi_t^{K^2} = \psi_t^{K^2} \circ \psi_t^H, \quad \text{for } t \text{ in an open subset of } \mathbb{R}. \quad (26)$$

We notice that all functions $H = \varphi(K^2)$ are first integrals for X_{K^2} , that is $X_{K^2}H = 0$. This follows also from

$$\{K^2, H = \varphi(K^2)\} = 0. \quad (27)$$

The regular Hamiltonian K^2 defines a Legendre transformation $\mathcal{L}_{K^2} : D^* \rightarrow D$, for D^* an open set in T^*M and D an open set in TM , given by

$$(x^i, p_i) \rightarrow \left(x^i, \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^i K^2 \right), \quad (28)$$

as well as a regular Lagrangian $F^2(x, y) = K^2(x, p)$, for (x, p) given by $\mathcal{L}_{K^2}^{-1}(x, y)$, $(x, y) \in D$.

Of course, given a regular Lagrangian F^2 , for F a fundamental Finsler function, we have a Legendre map $\mathcal{L}_{F^2} : D \rightarrow D^*$ given by

$$(x^i, y^i) \rightarrow \left(x^i, p_i = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i F^2 \right), \quad \left(\dot{\partial}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \right) \quad (29)$$

and a regular hamiltonian $K^2(x, p) = F^2(x, y)$ with (x, y) given by $\mathcal{L}_{F^2}^{-1}(x, p)$, is obtained.

The Hamiltonian $H = \varphi(K^2)$ induces a regular Lagrangian $L = H \circ \mathcal{L}_{K^2}^{-1}$ but generally we have not $L = \varphi(F^2)$. Thus the techniques from Ch. 7 in [2] involving the Legendre transformation can not be used in the study of φ - Hamiltonians.

References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, D. Hrimiuc, *A new class of spray-generating Lagrangians*. In the volume "Lagrange and Finsler Geometry. Applications to Physics and Biology", Eds. P.L. Antonelli and R. Miron, Kluwer Academic Publishers, FTPH 76, 1996, pp. 81–92.
- [2] R. Miron, D. Hrimiuc, H. Shimadaa, V. S. Sabău, *The geometry of Hamilton and Lagrange spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, FTPH 118, 2001.