

NOTES ON TOPOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS  
OF REGULAR OR  $\mathcal{G}$ -SMOOTH MEASURES TO WALLMAN TYPE SPACES  
Carmen Vlad

1. INTRODUCTION. Let  $X$  be an arbitrary set, and  $\mathcal{L}$  a lattice of subsets of  $X$ . It is assumed throughout the paper that  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{L}$ .

We adhere to the customary lattice - topological definitions which can be found for example in [1],[2],[4],[7] and [10]. Here, we just note some of the measure theoretic equivalents. For this purpose we introduce the following notations:  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  denotes the algebra generated by  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $I(\mathcal{L})$  the set of non-trivial zero-one valued finitely additive measures on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $I_R(\mathcal{L})$  the set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measures of  $I(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular if for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$   $\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(L) / L \subseteq A, L \in \mathcal{L} \}$ .  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  the set of  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth measures of  $I(\mathcal{L})$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , where  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{L}$  if for all sequences  $\{L_n\}$  of sets of  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$ ,  $\mu(L_n) \rightarrow 0$ .  $I^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  the set of  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth measures on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  of  $I(\mathcal{L})$ .  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  the set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measures of  $I^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L}) = \{ \pi, \text{ defined on } \mathcal{L}, \text{ non-trivial, monotone, and } \pi(A \cap B) = \pi(A)\pi(B), A, B \in \mathcal{L} \}$  the set of all premeasures on  $\mathcal{L}$ .  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is the set of all premeasures on  $\mathcal{L}$  which are  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Note that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between:

$\mathcal{L}$ -filters  $\mathcal{F}$  and elements of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{L})$  given by  $\pi(L) = 1$  iff  $L \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
 $\mathcal{L}$ -filters with countable intersection property and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

All elements of  $I(\mathcal{L})$  and all prime  $\mathcal{L}$ -filters, given by:

for any  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  we associate the prime  $\mathcal{L}$ -filter given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ A \in \mathcal{L} / \mu(A) = 1 \} .$$

All elements of  $I_R(\mathcal{L})$  and all  $\mathcal{L}$ -ultrafilters, given by the following rule: with each  $\mathcal{L}$ -ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  we associate the zero-one valued measure defined on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  by:

$$\mu(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq E \\ 0 & \text{if there exists } A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subseteq E' . \end{cases}$$

The support of  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  is  $S(\mu) = \bigcap \{L \in \mathcal{L} / \mu(L) = 1\}$ .

With this notation, we now note:  $\mathcal{L}$  is compact iff  $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact iff  $I_R(\mathcal{L}) = I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is normal iff for each  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ , there exists a unique  $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) i.e.  $\mu(L) \leq \nu(L)$  for all  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is regular iff whenever  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ), then  $S(\mu_1) = S(\mu_2)$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is replete iff for any  $\mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is prime-complete iff for any  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf iff for any  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $S(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ .

## 2. THE SPACES $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ AND THE LATTICES $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$

We consider in this section the important space  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ; for  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  define  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \{ \mu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) \mid \mu(A) = 1 \}$ . Then, assuming  $\mathcal{L}$  is disjunctive,  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = \{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L} \}$  is a lattice in  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}$ , under the map  $L \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(L)$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})) = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}))$ . Also the map  $\mu \rightarrow \mu'$ , where  $\mu'(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(A)) = \mu(A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$  is a bijection between  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is replete and is a basis for the closed sets  $\tau \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , all arbitrary intersections of sets of  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . It is this topological space  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\tau \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , and lattice  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  which we will consider here and subsequent sections. Analogously, we also consider  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ; here we do not need the assumption of disjunctiveness on  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = \{ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(L) \mid L \in \mathcal{L} \}$  where  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \{ \mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) \mid \mu(A) = 1 \}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is prime complete, and is a base for the closed sets  $\tau \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  of  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 2.1 a). Consider  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mathcal{L}$  disjunctive.  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is regular iff for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ).

b). The topological space  $I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\tau \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mathcal{L}$  disjunctive is considered. Then the space is  $T_2$  iff for  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu \leq \nu_1$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ),  $\mu \leq \nu_2$  ( $\mathcal{L}$ ) where  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in I_R^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  it follows that  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ .

c). Consider  $I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is regular iff for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

d). Consider the topological space  $I_G(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\tau \mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$ . This space is  $T_2$  iff for  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mu \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu \leq \nu_2(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ , it follows  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ .

Proof. The proofs for a) and c) and for b) and d) are similar we just prove a) and b).

a). Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$ . Then there exist  $\mu'_1, \mu'_2 \in I(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\mu'_1(W_G(L)) = \mu_1(L)$ ,  $\mu'_2(W_G(L)) = \mu_2(L)$  for all  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $\mu_1(L) \leq \mu_2(L) \Rightarrow \mu'_1 \leq \mu'_2$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is regular. Then  $S(\mu'_1) = S(\mu'_2)$  where  $S(\mu'_1) = \bigcap \{W_G(L) \in \mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}) \mid \mu'_1(W_G(L)) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$

Let now  $\nu \in I_R^G(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mu_1 \leq \nu$ . We have  $\nu \in I_R^G(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\mu'_1 \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$ , therefore  $S(\nu') \subset S(\mu'_1) = S(\mu'_2)$ ; hence  $\mu'_2 \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  i.e.  $\mu_2 \leq \nu$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_R^G(\mathcal{L})$  such that if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Let now  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and assume  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \mu'_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = \mu'_2$  where  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu'_1 \leq \mu'_2(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  i.e.  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$ .

Now  $S(\mu'_2) \subset S(\mu'_1)$ . If  $\lambda \in S(\mu'_1)$ , then clearly  $\lambda \in I_R^G(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence by the assumption  $\mu_2 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L})$  which implies  $\lambda \in S(\mu'_2)$ .

b) Suppose  $I_R^G(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\tau \mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_2$  which implies that  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_2$ , and let  $\mu, \nu_1, \nu_2$  as above. Then  $\mu' \leq \nu'_1$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\mu' \in I(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\nu'_1 \in I_R^G(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$ , which implies  $\nu'_1 \in S(\nu'_1) \subset S(\mu')$ . Also  $\mu' \leq \nu'_2$  on  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\nu'_2 \in I_R^G(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$ , which implies  $\nu'_2 \in S(\nu'_2) \subset S(\mu')$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $T_2$  iff for each  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $S(\mu) = \emptyset$  or a singleton, hence since  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_2$  it follows  $\nu'_1 = \nu'_2$ . Conversely, assume that for  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in I_R^G(\mathcal{L})$ , if  $\mu \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu \leq \nu_2(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ . Suppose  $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$ , where  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\lambda \in I(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\lambda = \mu'$ . If  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in S(\mu')$  then  $\mu \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu \leq \nu_2(\mathcal{L})$  i.e.  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_2$  and thus  $\tau \mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_2$ .

Theorem 2.2 Consider  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is regular iff  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. Suppose  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Now let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\nu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Then, since  $I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) = I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mu_1 \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  so  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  and  $\mu_1 = \nu$ . Conversely, suppose  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is regular and let  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ ; there exists  $\nu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  i.e.  $\mu' \leq \nu'(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ , where  $\mu', \nu' \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}))$ . But  $S(\mu') = S(\nu')$  since  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is regular. Hence  $\mu \in S(\nu')$  i.e.  $\nu \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$ . It follows  $\mu = \nu$  and then  $\mu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

3. ON NORMAL, SLIGHTLY NORMAL, MILDLY NORMAL AND LINDELÖF LATTICES  
In this section we wish to consider normality and related questions as well as Lindelöf properties concerning the lattices  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  in  $I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$

Definition 3.1

- a)  $\mathcal{L}$  is slightly normal if for all  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}')$ , there exists a unique  $\nu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .
- b)  $\mathcal{L}$  is mildly normal if for all  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , there exists a unique  $\nu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .
- c)  $\mathcal{L}$  is almost countably compact if  $\mu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L}')$  implies  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 3.1 Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is disjunctive. Then

- a) Consider  $I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf and satisfies the condition: for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ , if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is slightly and mildly normal.
- b) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is complement generated then  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is slightly normal.
- c) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is almost countably compact and mildly normal then  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is normal.

Proof. a)  $\mathcal{L}$  disjunctive and Lindelöf implies  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf. Also, by Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is regular. Then  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  is slightly and mildly normal ( see [4] ).

b)  $\mathcal{L}$  complement generated implies  $L = \bigcap_n L'_n$ ,  $L$  and  $L'_n \in \mathcal{L}$ , all  $n$ .  $W_{\mathcal{G}}(L) = W_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigcap_n L'_n) = \bigcap_n W_{\mathcal{G}}(L'_n) = \bigcap_n [W_{\mathcal{G}}(L'_n)]'$ . Hence  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  complement generated which implies  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  slightly normal ( see [4] ).

c) By the assumption, for any  $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}')$  it follows  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and then there exists a unique  $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L}')$  with  $\lambda \in I_R(\mathcal{L}')$ . Also  $\lambda \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu$ , on  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\nu_1 \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ , unique. Therefore if  $\mu \leq \nu_2(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\nu_2 \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu_2(\mathcal{L})$ , and so  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}$  is normal and also  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is normal.

Remark. Consider  $I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mathcal{L}$  Lindelöf. If for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  such that if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  it follows that  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ , then  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is slightly and mildly normal.

Proof. Similar to a) of Theorem 3.1.

We next consider the following condition:

- (1) For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathcal{L})$ , there exists  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 3.2.

- a) If condition (1) is satisfied and if  $\mathcal{L}$  is prime complete then  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf.  
 b) If  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf then condition (1) holds.  
 c)  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (1) iff  $I_G(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\tau \mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf.

Proof. a) Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathcal{L})$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -filter with the countable intersection property. By condition (1) there exists  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  prime complete implies  $S(\nu) \neq \emptyset$  and then  $S(\pi) \neq \emptyset$ .  
 b) Let  $\tau \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathcal{L})$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf,  $S(\tau) \neq \emptyset$  and therefore there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in S(\tau)$ . Then  $\tau \leq \mu_x(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_x \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ .  
 c) Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (1). Let  $\pi' \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L}))$  and define  $\pi(L) = \pi'(V_G(L))$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$ ,  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $V_G(L_n) \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\pi(L_n) = \pi'(V_G(L_n)) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e.  $\tau \in \mathcal{K}_G(\mathcal{L})$ . By condition (1), there exists  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence  $\nu' \in I_G(\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\tau' \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\nu'(V_G(L)) = \nu(L)$ ,  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition (1). Next, we show that  $\mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is prime complete. For this, let  $S(\nu') = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \{V_G(L) / \nu'(V_G(L)) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . But

$\nu'(V_G(L))=1$  iff  $\nu(L)=1$ , iff  $\nu \in V_G(L) = \{ \mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(L)=1, L \in \mathcal{L} \}$ .  
 Hence  $V_G(L) \neq \emptyset$  which implies  $S(\nu') \neq \emptyset$ . Now,  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition (1) and prime complete implies  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf and then  $\tau \mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf.

Conversely, let  $(I_G(\mathcal{L}), \tau \mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  be Lindelöf. Let  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_G(\mathcal{L})$  and define  $\pi'(V_G(L)) = \pi(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $V_G(L_n) \downarrow \emptyset$  implies  $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\pi(L_n) = \pi'(V_G(L_n)) \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_G(\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L}))$ .  $\tau \mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf implies  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf and then  $\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition (1); hence there exists  $\nu' \in I_G(\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  such that  $\pi' \leq \nu'(\mathcal{V}_G(\mathcal{L}))$ , where  $\nu'(V_G(L)) = \nu(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $\pi(L)=1$  implies  $\pi'(V_G(L))=1$  and then  $\nu'(V_G(L))=1$  i.e.  $\nu(L)=1, L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $\pi \in \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

#### 4. ON PRIME COMPLETE AND COUNTABLY COMPACT LATTICES

In this section we investigate the equivalence and consequences of stronger lattice completeness assumption.

Theorem 4.1 Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a disjunctive lattice.  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is prime complete iff for  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  there exists  $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  and the associated  $\mu' \in I_G(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  defined by  $\mu'(W_G(L)) = \mu(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is prime complete,  $S(\mu') \neq \emptyset$  and then there exists  $\nu \in S(\mu'), \nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  and it follows that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Conversely, let  $\mu' \in I_G(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and consider the associated  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu'(W_G(L)) = \mu(L)$ . For  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ , there exists  $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Therefore  $\nu' \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\mu' \leq \nu'(\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L}))$  which implies  $S(\nu') \subset S(\mu')$  and since  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  is replete,  $S(\nu') \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Theorem 4.2

- a) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be disjunctive, almost countably compact and mildly normal and let  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  be prime complete. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact.
- b) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be disjunctive, regular, Lindelöf, almost countably compact and let  $\mathcal{W}_G(\mathcal{L})$  be prime complete. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact.

Proof. a) Must show that  $I_R(\mathcal{L}) = I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$ ; we have  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L}')$  where  $\nu \in I_R(\mathcal{L}')$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is almost countably compact we have  $\nu \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mu \in I_R(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ . But  $\mathcal{W}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is prime complete and by Theorem 4.1 there exists  $\rho \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\nu \leq \rho(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{L}$  almost countably compact and mildly normal implies  $\mathcal{L}$  normal (see [4]). By the normality of  $\mathcal{L}$  the  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measure  $\mu$  such that  $\nu \leq \mu$  must be unique, hence  $\mu = \rho \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

b)  $\mathcal{L}$  regular and Lindelöf implies  $\mathcal{L}$  mildly normal and by the above result, it follows that  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact.

Theorem 4.3 Suppose  $I_G(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  disjunctive and  $\mathcal{W}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  prime complete. Then  $I_G(\mathcal{L}) = I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. Since  $I_G(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{V}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  is  $T_1$ , given  $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$  with  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$ , there exist  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{V}_G(L_1'), \mu_2 \notin \mathcal{V}_G(L_1')$  and  $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{V}_G(L_2'), \mu_1 \notin \mathcal{V}_G(L_2')$ . Therefore  $\mu_1(L_1') = 1, \mu_2(L_1') = 0$  or  $\mu_1(L_1) = 0, \mu_2(L_1) = 1$  and  $\mu_2(L_2') = 1, \mu_1(L_2') = 0$  or  $\mu_2(L_2) = 0, \mu_1(L_2) = 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{W}_G^+(\mathcal{L})$  prime complete, given  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L})$  there exists  $\nu \in I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$  with  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mu \neq \nu$ , by above there exists  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\nu(L) = 0$  and  $\mu(L) = 1$ .

This is a contradiction, hence  $\mu = \nu$ , and  $I_G(\mathcal{L}) = I_R^\sigma(\mathcal{L})$ .

Definition 4.1 Let  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L}), E \subset X$  and define  $\mu'(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(L_i'), E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n L_i', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} = \inf \left\{ \mu(L'), E \subset L', L \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$ .

Definition 4.2 Let  $\mu \in I_G(\mathcal{L}), E \subset X$  and define  $\mu''(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(L_i'), E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n L_i', L_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$ .

Clearly,  $\mu'$  is a finitely subadditive outer measure and  $\mu''$  is an outer measure (see [7]). Let  $\mathcal{I}_{\mu''}$  be the set of  $\mu''$ -measurable sets, where  $E$  is measurable with respect to  $\mu''$  if for any  $A \subset X$ ,  $\mu''(A) = \mu''(A \cap E) + \mu''(A \cap E')$

Theorem 4.4 Let  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  semiseparates  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mu \leq \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu''|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})} \in I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Then we have  $\mu \leq \mu''(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mu''}$  which is closed under complement and countable unions ( see [7] ). Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mu''}$ .  $\mu''|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})}$  is then a measure on  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mu''$  countably additive implies  $\mu''|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})} \in I^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . To show that  $\mu''|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})} \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L})$ , assume  $\mu''(A')=1$ ,  $A' \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then there exist  $\{L_n\}$ ,  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $A' \supseteq \bigcap_n L_n$  and  $\mu(L_n)=1$  for all  $n$ .

But  $\bigcap_n L_n \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $A \cap (\bigcap_n L_n) = \emptyset$ . Hence by semiseparation there exists  $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $A \cap \tilde{L} = \emptyset$  ( or  $\tilde{A} \subset A'$  ) and  $\bigcap_n L_n \subset \tilde{L}$ . May assume  $L_n \downarrow$  and then  $\mu''(\bigcap_n L_n)=1$ . We then have  $\bigcap_n L_n \subset \tilde{L} \subset A'$  which implies  $\mu''(\tilde{L})=1$  i.e.  $\mu''|_{\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})} \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

## 5. STRONGLY $\mathcal{G}$ -SMOOTH MEASURES

Here we consider another general Wallman space and analyze the relevant lattice in detail.

Definition 5.1 A measure  $\mu \in I(\mathcal{L})$  is strongly  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{L}$  iff for any sequence  $\{L_n\}$ ,  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $L_n \downarrow$ , if  $\bigcap_n L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  then

$$\mu(\bigcap_n L_n) = \inf_n \mu(L_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(L_n).$$

We denote  $J(\mathcal{L})$  the set of strongly  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth nontrivial zero-one valued measures on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Definition 5.2 The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is weakly prime complete if for  $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $S(\mu) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now define the following condition:

- (2) For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  there exists  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

We summarize a few notes on  $\mathcal{G}$ -smoothness that will be used throughout this section for the reader's convenience ( see [6] )

- $I^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset J(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$
- $\mathcal{L}$  normal and complement generated implies  $J(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$
- $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}')$  implies  $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ .
- Since  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  implies  $\mu' = \mu''(\mathcal{L}')$ , it follows that  $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$  and then  $I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset J(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 5.1

- If condition (2) holds and if  $\mathcal{L}$  is weakly prime complete then  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf.
- If  $\mathcal{L}$  is Lindelöf then condition (2) holds.

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 5.2 Define  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}) = \{V_J(L) / L \in \mathcal{L}\}$  where  $V_J(L) = \{\mu \in J(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(L) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (2) iff  $J(\mathcal{L}), \tau \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf.

Proof. Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies condition (2) we show that  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition (2). For this, let  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  and define  $\pi(L) = \pi'(V_J(L)), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . If  $L_n \downarrow \emptyset, L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  then  $V_J(L_n) \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\lim_n \pi(L_n) = \lim_n \pi'(V_J(L_n)) = 0$ , hence  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

By condition (2) there exists  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence  $\nu' \in J(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\pi' \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\nu'(V_J(L)) = \nu(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . For  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$ , there exists  $\nu' \in J(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  such that  $\pi' \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ .

Next we show that  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is weakly prime complete; let

$S(\nu') = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \{V_J(L) / \nu'(V_J(L)) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .  $\nu'(V_J(L)) = 1$  iff  $\nu(L) = 1$  iff  $\nu \in V_J(L) = \{\mu \in J(\mathcal{L}) / \mu(L) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Hence  $V_J(L) \neq \emptyset$  implies  $S(\nu') \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf, and then  $\tau \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf. Conversely, assume  $(J(\mathcal{L}), \tau \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  is Lindelöf and let  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Define  $\pi'(V_J(L)) = \pi(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $V_J(L_n) \downarrow \emptyset$  which implies  $L_n \downarrow \emptyset$  and  $\lim_n \pi(L_n) = \lim_n \pi'(V_J(L_n)) = 0$  i.e.  $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$ .

$\tau \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf, then  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  Lindelöf, then  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  satisfies condition (2). Hence there exists  $\nu' \in J(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  such that  $\pi' \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\nu'(V_J(L)) = \nu(L), L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Therefore  $\pi \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 5.3 Consider  $J(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is regular iff for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ , if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. For  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  we have  $\mu'_1, \mu'_2 \in I(\mathcal{W}_0(\mathcal{L}))$  and then  $\mu'_1, \mu'_2 \in I(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$ ,  $\mu'_1(V_J(L)) = \mu_1(L)$  and  $\mu'_2(V_J(L)) = \mu_2(L)$ . If  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is regular then  $S(\mu'_1) = S(\mu'_2)$ , where  $S(\mu'_1) = \{V_J(L) \in \mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}) / \mu'_1(V_J(L)) = 1, L \in \mathcal{L}\}$ . Let  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ ;  $\nu' \in J(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\mu'_1 \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\nu \in S(\mu'_2)$  i.e.  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$  such that if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\lambda_1 = \mu'_1$  and  $\lambda_2 = \mu'_2$  with  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$ . Thus  $\mu'_1 \leq \mu'_2$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  which implies  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ , hence  $S(\mu'_2) \subset S(\mu'_1)$ . If  $\lambda \in S(\mu'_1)$  then clearly  $\lambda \in J(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L})$ . By the condition of the statement,  $\mu_2 \leq \lambda(\mathcal{L})$  and then  $\lambda \in S(\mu'_2)$ . Hence  $S(\mu'_2) = S(\mu'_1)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is regular.

Theorem 5.4 Consider  $J(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is regular, then  $J(\mathcal{L}) = I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu \in J(\mathcal{L})$ . Then there exists  $\nu \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$ , hence  $\mu' \leq \nu'$  on  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mu' \in J(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$  and  $\nu' \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L}))$ .  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  regular implies  $S(\mu') = S(\nu')$ , therefore  $\nu \leq \mu(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mu = \nu(\mathcal{L})$  and since  $\nu \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $J(\mathcal{L}) \subset I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  it follows that  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{L}), I_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$  and then  $\mu \in I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Thus  $J(\mathcal{L}) = I_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Theorem 5.5 Consider  $J(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$ , with  $\mathcal{L}$  Lindelöf. If for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in I(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\nu \in J(\mathcal{L})$  such that if  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mu_1 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  then  $\mu_2 \leq \nu(\mathcal{L})$  it follows that  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is slightly and mildly normal.

Proof. By Theorem 5.3  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is regular. We show as in Remark of Theorem 3.1 that  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  is Lindelöf and then,  $\mathcal{V}_J(\mathcal{L})$  being regular and Lindelöf, it follows that it is also slightly and mildly normal.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.D.Alexandroff, Additive set functions in abstract spaces, Mat.Sb. (N.S.) 8, 50 (1940), pp 307-348.
- [2] G.Bachman & P.Stratigos, Lattice repleteness and some of its applications to topology, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol 99, No.2, 1984, pp472-492.
- [3] R.Connell, On certain Wallman spaces, International Journal Math.& Math.Sciences, 17 no.2, (1994), pp 273-276.
- [4] G.Eid, On normal lattices and Wallman spaces, International Journal Math.& Math. Sciences, 13(1) (1990), pp 31-38.
- [5] P.Grassi, Outer measures and associated lattice properties, International Journal Math.& Math.Sciences, 16, no.4, (1992), pp 687-694.
- [6] Pao-Sheng Hsu, Applications of outer measures to separation properties of lattices and regular or  $\mathcal{G}$ -smooth measures, International Journal Math.& Math,Sciences, to appear.
- [7] C.Vlad, Regular measures and normal Lattices, International Journal Math.& Math. Sciences, Vol. 17 No. 3 (1994) pp 441-446.
- [8] C.Vlad, Lattice separation and properties of Wallman type spaces, Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata, (IV), Vol. CLV (1991), pp 65-79.
- [9] C.Vlad, On normal lattices and semiseparation of lattices, The Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society, Vol. 56 (1991), pp 259-273.
- [10] H.Wallman, Lattices and topological spaces, Ann.of Math. 39 (1938), pp 112-126.