

ON THE INTEGRABILITY OF SOME DISTRIBUTIONS DEFINED BY A PSEUDO f -STRUCTURE ON A VECTOR BUNDLE OF ALMOST PRODUCT MANIFOLDS

JAE-BOK JUN and KOJI MATSUMOTO

Dedicated to Professor Radu Rosca on his 90th birthday anniversary.

Abstract. This paper introduces a pseudo f -structure on a certain vector bundle on an almost product manifold. And we mainly study integrable conditions of certain distributions defined from this pseudo f -structure.

Introduction. In 1976, one of the authors introduced the notion of pseudo f -structure $([M])$ which is closely related with an almost product structure $([Y])$ and an almost paracontact structure $([Y])$.

In this paper, we define a vector bundle over an almost product manifold. Then we introduce a pseudo f -structure on this vector bundle.

And we consider integrability conditions of certain distributions on the vector bundle which admits this structure.

1. PSEUDO f -STRUCTURE ON A VECTOR BUNDLE OVER AN ALMOST PRODUCT MANIFOLD.

Let M^n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold with an almost product structure H ;

$$H^2 = I, \quad (H \neq I) \tag{1.1}$$

where I denotes the identity matrix of order n . Then we know that the eigenvalues of H are 1 and -1 .

Let P (resp. Q) be the projection operator of H with respect to the eigenvalue 1 (resp. -1). Then we have

$$P + Q = I, \quad PQ = QP = 0, \quad P^2 = P, \quad Q^2 = Q$$

We put

$$D^1 = \text{Im}P, \quad \dim D^1 = p \quad \text{and} \quad D^2 = \text{Im}Q, \quad \dim D^2 = n - p = q,$$

where p (resp. q) is the multiplicity of 1 (resp. -1).

Now, we define a vector bundle $\eta = (D_1(M^n), \pi^1, M^n)$ which π^1 denotes the bundle projection.

Let U be a local coordinate neighbourhood with a coordinate system (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) in M^n . Then we can represent

$$P = p_i^j \partial_j \otimes dx^i, \quad Q = q_i^j \partial_j \otimes dx^i, \quad (1.2)$$

where (p_i^j) (resp. q_i^j) is a matrix of order n which the rank is p (resp. q) and $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$.

Hereafter, we assume that the first minor matrix (p_a^b) of order p of P and the last minor matrix q_u^v of order q of Q are non-singular, where the indices a, b, \dots, c (resp. u, v, \dots, w) run over the range $1, 2, \dots, p$ (resp. $p+1, p+2, \dots, n$).

For each $x \in U$, we define a n vectors $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ as follows;

$$X_a = p_a^i \partial_i, \quad X_u = q_u^i \partial_i. \quad (1.3)$$

Since $\{X_a\}$ (resp. $\{X_u\}$) is a basis of D_x^1 (resp. D_x^2), the vector $\{X_i\}$ is a basis of the tangent vector space $T_x M^n$ at x of M^n .

Next, let $\{\theta^i\} = \{\gamma_j^i dx^j\}$ be the dual basis of $\{X_i\}$. Then the matrix (γ_j^i) is the inverse one of (p_a^i, q_u^i) , that is,

$$\gamma_j^i p_a^j = \delta_a^i, \quad \gamma_j^i q_u^j = \delta_u^i, \quad \gamma_j^a p_a^i + \gamma_j^u q_u^i = \delta_j^i. \quad (1.4)$$

Now, for $\sigma \in (\pi^1)^{-1}(U) = U \times \mathbb{R}^p$ (\mathbb{R}^p being the p -dimensional number space), we can put $\sigma = \xi^a X_a$. Then, $(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, \xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^p)$ is a local coordinate system on $(\pi^1)^{-1}(U)$. Thus $D^1(M^n)$ is an $(n+p)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold. The coordinate transformation of $\{U \times \mathbb{R}^p\} \cap \{U' \times \mathbb{R}^p\}$ with local coordinates system $(y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{n+p}) = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, \xi^1, \xi^2, \dots, \xi^p)$ and $(y'^1, y'^2, \dots, y'^{n+p}) = (x'^1, x'^2, \dots, x'^n, \xi'^1, \xi'^2, \dots, \xi'^p)$ is given by

$$y^i = y^i(y') \quad (x^i = x^i(x')), \quad y^a = y'^b p_b^j \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j} \gamma_i^a, \quad (\xi^a = \xi'^b p_b^j \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j} \gamma_i^a).$$

So, the Jacobian matrix of this transformation is given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial y^a}{\partial y'^i} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial y'^1} & \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial y'^1} \\ \frac{\partial y^1}{\partial y'^2} & \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial y'^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial y'^j} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j}, \\ \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial y'^j} = \frac{\partial p_c^k}{\partial x'^j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^k} \gamma_i^a \xi'^c + p_c^k \frac{\partial^2 x^i}{\partial x'^j \partial x'^k} \gamma_i^a \xi'^c + p_c^k \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^k} \frac{\partial \gamma_i^a}{\partial x'^j} \xi'^c + p_c^k \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^k} \gamma_i^a \frac{\partial \xi'^c}{\partial x'^j}, \\ \frac{\partial y^b}{\partial y'^i} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x'^b} = p_b^j \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j} \gamma_i^a \end{cases}$$

The tangent space $T_\sigma(D^1(M^n))$ at a point σ of $D^1(M^n)$ is expressed as

$$T_\sigma(D^1(M^n)) = T_x(M^n) \oplus F_x = D_x^1 \oplus D_x^2 \oplus F_x, \quad (1.5)$$

where $T_x(M^n)$, D_x^1 (resp. D_x^2) and F_x are respectively the tangent vector space at x of M^n , the tangent plane at x belong to D^1 (resp. D^2) and $F_x = (\pi^1)^{-1}(x)$ which is the

fibre of $x \in M$.

Let $j : D_x^1 \rightarrow F_x$ be the natural projection. Then, for $X = \mu^a X_a$, we have $j(X) = \mu^a \partial_a$, where $\partial_a = \partial/\partial \xi^a$.

Now, let ω^* be a linear connection with local components $\Gamma_j^a{}^b$ with respect to the local coordinate system $\{x^i, \xi^a\}$ on η^1 .

For $X \in T_x(M^n)$, let $X^{\mathcal{H}}$ be the horizontal lift of X with respect to ω^* . Then, we define a linear map F_σ of $T_\sigma(D^1(M^n))$ as follows;

$$\begin{cases} F_\sigma(X^{\mathcal{H}}) = 0, & \text{for } X \in D_x^2 \\ F_\sigma(X^{\mathcal{H}}) = j(X), & \text{for } X \in D_x^2 \\ F_\sigma(X^{\mathcal{H}}) = (j^{-1}(X))^{\mathcal{H}}, & \text{for } X \in F_x \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Then we can easily prove that

Proposition 1.1. *The linear map F_σ defined by (1.6) is a pseudo-f-structure on $D^1(M^n)$, that is, it satisfies $F_\sigma^3 - F_\sigma = 0$.*

Remark. Hereafter, we neglect the index σ in F_σ .

Since

$$\partial_i^{\mathcal{H}} = \partial_i - \Gamma_i^a{}^b \xi^b \partial_a,$$

we have

$$X^{\mathcal{H}} = X - \Gamma_i^a{}^b \xi^b X^i \partial_a$$

for any $X \in T_x M$, where $\partial_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^a}$.

Especially, for $X = \mu^a X_a = \mu^a p_a^j \partial_j \in D_x^1$, we have

$$X^{\mathcal{H}} = \mu^a p_a^j \partial_j - \Gamma_i^a{}^b \xi^b \mu^c p_c^i \partial_a, \quad (1.7)$$

and for $X = \mu^u X_u = \mu^u q_u^i \partial_i \in D_x^2$, we get

$$X^{\mathcal{H}} = \mu^u q_u^i \partial_i - \Gamma_i^a{}^b \xi^b \mu^u q_u^i \partial_a. \quad (1.8)$$

Now, we put

$$F = (F_\beta^\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} F_j^i & F_j^a \\ F_b^i & F_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma$ run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, n+p\}$.

Then, by the straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$(F_j^i - F_b^i \Gamma_j^b) q_u^j = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$(F_j^a - F_b^a \Gamma_j^b) q_u^j = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$(F_j^i - F_b^i \Gamma_j^b) p_a^j = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$(F_j^a - F_c^a \Gamma_j^c) p_b^j = \delta_b^a \quad (1.13)$$

$$F_b^i = p_a^i, \quad F_b^a = -\Gamma_j^a{}^b p_b^j, \quad (1.14)$$

where we put $\Gamma_j^a = \Gamma_j^a{}^b \xi^b$.

From (1.4) and the above equations, we easily obtain

$$F_j^i = F_b^i \Gamma_j^b = p_b^i \Gamma_j^b, \quad F_j^a = F_b^a \Gamma_j^b = \gamma_j^a - \Gamma_l^a p_c^l \Gamma_j^c.$$

Thus, we can sum up these as follows;

$$(F_\beta^\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} F_j^i & F_j^a \\ F_b^i & F_b^a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_b^i \Gamma_j^b & \gamma_j^a - \Gamma_k^a \Gamma_j^c p_c^k \\ p_b^i & -\Gamma_j^a p_b^j \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (1.15), we can easily see that $F^3 - F = 0$. This means that F is a pseudo- f -structure. Thus we have

Theorem 1.2. *If an n -differentiable manifold M^n admits an almost product structure H , then there exists a pseudo- f -structure F in the bundle space of the $(n+p)$ -dimensional vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$ over M^n and if a connection ω^* with components $\Gamma_j^a{}_b$ in $D^1(M^n)$ is given, then the pseudo- f -structure $F = (F_\beta^\alpha)$ should be determined by (1.15).*

2. NIJENHUIS TENSOR OF F_β^α .

In this section, we calculate the Nijenhuis tensor of the pseudo- f -structure F which satisfies (1.15)

Let $N_{\gamma^\alpha\beta}$ of F is defined by

$$N_{\gamma^\alpha\beta} = F_\gamma^\delta \partial_\delta F_\beta^\alpha - F_\beta^\delta \partial_\delta F_\gamma^\alpha - (\partial_\gamma F_\beta^\delta - \partial_\beta F_\gamma^\delta) F_\delta^\alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

Using (1.15), we do straightforward calculation. Then we get

$$\begin{cases} N_j^h{}_i = -S_j^h{}_i + p_b^k p_c^h (\Gamma_j^b R_{ki}{}^c - \Gamma_i^b R_{kj}{}^c) + \Gamma_j^c \Gamma_i^b S_c^h{}_b, \\ N_j^a{}_i = -R_{ji}{}^a + \Gamma_k^a S_j^k{}_i - \Gamma_j^c \gamma_i^b p_c^k p_b^l R_{kl}{}^a - \Gamma_l^a p_c^k p_b^l (\Gamma_j^c R_{ki}{}^b - \Gamma_i^c R_{kj}{}^b) \\ \quad + p_c^k (\Gamma_j^c S_k^a{}_i - \Gamma_i^c S_k^a{}_j) - \Gamma_l^a \Gamma_j^c \Gamma_i^b S_c^l{}_b, \\ N_j^h{}_b = \Gamma_j^c S_c^h{}_b - p_b^k p_c^h R_{kj}{}^c, \\ N_j^a{}_b = -p_b^k S_k^a{}_j + p_b^k \Gamma_l^a p_c^l R_{kj}{}^c - \Gamma_j^c \Gamma_l^a S_c^l{}_b - p_c^k \Gamma_j^c p_b^l R_{kl}{}^a, \\ N_c^h{}_b = S_c^h{}_b, \\ N_c^a{}_b = -\Gamma_j^a S_c^j{}_b - p_c^k p_b^j R_{kj}{}^a, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where we put

$$\begin{cases} S_j^h{}_i = p_d^h \{ \partial_j \gamma_i^d - \partial_i \gamma_j^d - (\gamma_j^a \Gamma_i^d{}_a - \gamma_i^a \Gamma_j^d{}_a) \}, \\ S_c^h{}_b = p_c^k \partial_k p_b^h - p_b^k \partial_k p_c^h - (p_c^k \Gamma_k^d{}_b - p_b^k \Gamma_k^d{}_c), \\ S_j^a{}_i = \partial_j \gamma_i^a - \partial_i \gamma_j^a - (\gamma_j^b \Gamma_i^a{}_b - \gamma_i^b \Gamma_j^a{}_b), \\ R_{ji}{}^a = \partial_j \Gamma_i^a{}_b - \partial_i \Gamma_j^a{}_b + \Gamma_j^a{}_d \Gamma_i^d{}_b - \Gamma_i^a{}_d \Gamma_j^d{}_b, \\ R_{ji}{}^a = R_{jib}{}^a \xi^b. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Remark. In general, for a $(1,1)$ tensor field F , the Nijenhuis tensor N_F with respect to F is defined as

$$N_F(Y, X) = [FY, FX] - F[FY, X] - F[Y, FX] + F^2[X, Y]$$

for any vector fields X and Y , where $[,]$ denotes the Lie bracket.

From (2.1) and (2.1), we obtain

Theorem 2.1. *A necessary and sufficient condition for the pseudo-f-structure induced from an almost product structure be integrable with respect to ω^* , that is, $N_{\gamma^{\alpha\beta}} = 0$, is that the tensor S_k^{ij} (or equivalently $S_k^{\alpha_j}$), S_c^{hb} vanish identically and the connection ω^* is of zero curvature.*

3. INTEGRABILITY CONDITIONS

For the pseudo-f-structure F on $D^1(M^n)$, we put

$$L = F^2, \quad M = -L + I = -F^2 + I. \quad (3.1)$$

Then we have easily seen that

$$L + M = I, \quad LM = ML = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1. (i) The pseudo-f-structure F is said to be *partially integrable* if its Nijenhuis tensor $N_{\gamma^{\alpha\beta}}$ satisfies $L_{\gamma^{\delta}} L_{\beta^{\omega}} N_{\delta^{\alpha\omega}} = 0$. (ii) L (resp. M) is *integrable* if the Nijenhuis tensor $N_{\gamma^{\alpha\beta}}$ satisfies $M_{\delta^{\alpha}} N_{\gamma^{\delta\beta}} = 0$ (resp. $M_{\gamma^{\delta}} M_{\beta^{\omega}} N_{\delta^{\alpha\omega}} = 0$).

On $D^1(M^n)$, we define an almost product structure K as

$$K = M - L = -2F^2 + I. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we define \bar{P} and \bar{Q} from K as follows;

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{2}(I - K), \quad \bar{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(I + K). \quad (3.4)$$

Definition 3.2. \bar{P} (resp. \bar{Q}) is *completely integrable* if the Nijenhuis tensor \tilde{N} of K satisfies $\tilde{N}_{\gamma^{\alpha\beta}} + \tilde{N}_{\gamma^{\delta\beta}} K_{\delta^{\alpha}} = 0$ (resp. $\tilde{N}_{\gamma^{\alpha\beta}} - \tilde{N}_{\gamma^{\delta\beta}} K_{\delta^{\alpha}} = 0$).

We can calculate the followings;

$$L \text{ is integrable} \iff F_{\omega^{\delta}} F_{\gamma^{\beta}} (\partial_{\delta} M_{\beta^{\alpha}} - \partial_{\beta} M_{\delta^{\alpha}}) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

$$M \text{ is integrable} \iff L_{\beta^{\alpha}} \{M_{\gamma^{\delta}} \partial_{\delta} M_{\omega^{\beta}} - M_{\omega^{\delta}} \partial_{\delta} M_{\gamma^{\beta}}\} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, by the straightforward calculation, we get

$$\begin{cases} \partial_j M_i^h = -\partial_j (p_a^h \gamma_i^a), \\ \partial_j M_i^a = -\partial_j \Gamma_i^a + \Gamma_k^a p_d^k \partial_j \gamma_i^d + \gamma_i^d (p_d^k \partial_j \Gamma_k^a + \Gamma_k^a \partial_j p_d^k), \\ \partial_d M_j^a = \gamma_j^b p_b^k \Gamma_k^a - \Gamma_j^a k, \\ \text{the other cases} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$(L_{\beta}^{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} L_j^i L_j^a \\ L_b^i L_b^a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_j^a p_a^i \Gamma_j^a - \gamma_j^b p_b^k \Gamma_k^a \\ 0 \quad \delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$(M_{\beta}^{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} M_j^i M_j^a \\ M_b^i M_b^a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_j^i - \gamma_j^a p_a^i \gamma_j^b p_b^k \Gamma_k^a - \Gamma_j^a \\ 0 \quad 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$(K_{\beta}^{\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} K_j^i K_j^a \\ K_b^i K_b^a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_j^i - 2\gamma_j^a p_a^i 2(-\Gamma_j^a + \gamma_j^b p_b^k \Gamma_k^a) \\ 0 \quad -\delta_b^a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let the distribution L be integrable. Then we know $M_{\beta}^{\alpha} N_{\gamma}^{\beta} = 0$ by Definition 3.1. This is equivalent to the followings;

$$\gamma_k^u S_j^k{}_i = 0, \quad \gamma_k^u S_c^k{}_b = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 3.1. *The distribution L induced from the pseudo- f -structure F on the vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$ is integrable if and only if (3.11) is satisfied.*

Corollary 3.2. *If the tensor fields $S_j^h{}_i$ and $S_c^h{}_b$ vanish, then the distribution L is integrable.*

Next, we assume that the distribution M is integrable. Then we know (3.6). By virtue of (3.7),(3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$(3.6) \iff \begin{cases} S_j^a{}_i + p_d^k (\gamma_j^d S_i^a{}_k - \gamma_i^d S_j^a{}_k) + p_d^k p_b^l \gamma_j^b \gamma_i^d S_l^a{}_k = 0, \\ R_{ji}^a + p_c^k (\gamma_j^c R_{ik}^a - \gamma_i^c R_{jk}^a) + p_d^k p_b^l \gamma_j^b \gamma_i^d R_{lk}^a = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 3.3. *The distribution M induced from the pseudo- f -structure F on the vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$ is integrable if and only if $S_j^a{}_i$ and R_{ji}^a satisfy the equation (3.12).*

Corollary 3.4. *If the tensor fields $S_j^a{}_i$ and R_{ji}^a vanish, then the distribution M is integrable.*

On the other hand, for the Nijenhuis tensor of the almost product structure $H \equiv (h_j^i)$ on the base space, we have

$$N_j^h{}_i = h_j^k \partial_k h_i^h - h_i^k \partial_k h_j^h - (\partial_j h_i^k - \partial_i h_j^k) h_k^h.$$

Substituting $h_j^i = \delta_j^i - 2p_j^i$ into the above equation, we get

$$\frac{1}{4} N_j^h{}_i = p_j^k \partial_k p_i^h - p_i^k \partial_k p_j^h - (\partial_j p_i^k - \partial_i p_j^k) p_k^h. \quad (3.13)$$

Since we know

$$p_j^i + q_j^i = \delta_j^i, \quad p_j^k q_k^i = q_j^k p_k^i = 0, \quad p_j^k p_k^i = p_j^i, \quad q_j^k p_k^i = q_j^i, \quad (3.14)$$

these three equations mean

$$\gamma_j^u q_u^h = q_j^h, \quad \gamma_j^a p_a^i = p_j^i, \quad \gamma_j^a q_i^j = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Now, by virtue of (2.3)₃ and (3.15), we get

$$q_j^l q_i^k S_l^a p_a^h = \{\partial_j p_i^k - \partial_i p_j^k - (p_j^l \partial_l p_i^k - p_i^l \partial_l p_j^k)\} p_k^h.$$

Futhermore, (3.13) implies

$$\frac{1}{4} N_j^k i p_k^h = -\{(\partial_j p_i^k - \partial_i p_j^k) - (p_j^l \partial_l p_i^k - p_i^l \partial_l p_j^k)\} p_k^h.$$

Comparing the above two equations, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4} N_j^k i p_k^h = -q_j^l q_i^k S_l^a p_a^h. \quad (3.16)$$

Moreover, (3.12) and (3.14) teach us

$$q_i^j q_k^i S_j^a i = 0, \quad q_i^j q_k^i R_{ji}^a = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Conversely, if we assume (3.17)₁, we can easily see (3.12)₁.

Similarly, if we assume that (3.17)₂, we have (3.12)₂. Thus we have

Theorem 3.5. *The distribution M induced from the pseudo- f -structure F on the vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$ is integrable if and only if $S_j^a i$ and R_{ji}^a satisfy (3.17).*

Next, we assume that the structure F is partially integrable. Then, by its definition, we know $L_{\gamma^{\delta}} L_{\beta^{\omega}} N_{\delta}^{\alpha \omega} = 0$. The calculation of this equation by separating the indices gives us

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma_j^a p_a^l p_b^k \gamma_i^b S_l^h k + p_c^h p_a^l p_e^m (\gamma_j^a \Gamma_i^e R_{ml}^c - \gamma_i^a \Gamma_j^e R_{ml}^c) - \Gamma_j^e \Gamma_i^d S_e^h d = 0, \\ \gamma_j^d p_d^l \gamma_i^b p_b^k (R_{lk}^a + \Gamma_m^a S_l^m k) + \gamma_j^d p_d^l \Gamma_i^e p_e^m (\Gamma_n^a p_d^n R_{ml}^d - S_m^a l) \\ \quad + \gamma_i^c p_c^k \Gamma_j^e p_e^m (S_m^a k - \Gamma_n^a p_d^n R_{mk}^d) \\ \quad - \Gamma_j^e \Gamma_i^d (\Gamma_m^a S_c^m d - p_e^m p_d^n R_{mn}^a) = 0, \\ \Gamma_j^e S_c^h b - \gamma_j^d p_d^l p_b^k p_c^h R_{kl}^c = 0, \\ \gamma_j^d p_d^l (p_b^k S_k^a l - p_b^k \Gamma_d^a R_{kl}^d) + \Gamma_j^e (\Gamma_l^a S_c^l b + p_e^l p_b^m R_{lm}^a) = 0, \\ S_c^j b = 0, \quad \Gamma_j^a S_c^j b + p_c^k p_b^j R_{kj}^a = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.18)$$

We can get that (3.18) equivalent with

$$S_c^h b = 0, \quad p_c^k p_b^j R_{kj}^a = 0, \quad p_d^l p_b^k S_k^a l = 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 3.6. *The pseudo- f -structure F induced in the vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$ is partially integrable if and only if $S_c^h b$, R_{kj}^a and $S_k^a l$ satisfy (3.19).*

4. COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE CONDITION OF \bar{P} AND \bar{Q} .

We first calculate the Nijenhuis tensor $\tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$ of $K = M - L$. Then it is written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{4}\tilde{N}_j^h{}_i = -p_c^h S_j^c{}_i + p_d^k p_c^h (\gamma_j^d S_k^c{}_i - \gamma_i^d S_k^c{}_j) + \gamma_j^d \gamma_i^c S_d^h{}_c, \\ \frac{1}{4}\tilde{N}_j^a{}_i = -R_{ji}^a + p_b^l (\gamma_j^b R_{li}^a - \gamma_i^b R_{lj}^a) + p_b^l \Gamma_l^a S_j^b{}_i \\ \quad - p_d^k p_b^l \Gamma_l^a (\gamma_j^d S_k^b{}_i - \gamma_i^d S_k^b{}_j) - \gamma_j^d \gamma_i^b (p_k^d p_b^l R_{kl}^a + \Gamma_l^a S_d^l{}_b), \\ \text{the other components} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

Now, let \bar{P} be completely integrable. Then the Nijenhuis tensor $\tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies $\tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} + \tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\delta\beta} K_{\delta}^{\alpha} = 0$.

Using (3.10) and (4.1), the last equation means

$$S_d^h{}_c - p_b^h \gamma_k^b S_d^k{}_c = 0 \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \gamma_k^u S_d^k{}_c = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 4.1. *For the almost product structure K which is define from the pseudo- f -structure F on the vector bundle $D^1(M^n)$, the distribution \bar{P} is completely integrable if and only if the tensor $S_d^h{}_c$ satisfies (4.2)₁ or equivalently (4.2)₂.*

By virtue of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.2. *If the distribution L is integrable, then the distribution \bar{P} is completely integrable.*

Next, we assume that the distribution $\bar{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(I + K)$ is completely integrable. Then the Nijenhuis tensor $\tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{N}_{\gamma}^{\delta\beta} K_{\delta}^{\alpha} = 0.$$

By virtue of (3.10) and (4.1), the above equation has the following case which are not identically zero;

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{N}_j^h{}_i - \tilde{N}_j^k{}_i K_k^h - \tilde{N}_j^d{}_i K_d^h = 0, \\ \tilde{N}_j^a{}_i - \tilde{N}_j^k{}_i K_k^a - \tilde{N}_j^d{}_i K_d^a = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.3)$$

By the straightforward calculation, we get that (4.3)₁ and (4.3)₂ respectively mean

$$S_j^a{}_i - p_d^k (\gamma_j^d S_k^a{}_i - \gamma_i^d S_k^a{}_j) - \gamma_j^d \gamma_i^c \gamma_k^a S_d^k{}_c = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$R_{jib}^a + p_c^l (\gamma_j^c R_{kib}^a - \gamma_i^c R_{ljb}^a - \gamma_j^d \gamma_i^c p_d^k p_c^l R_{kib}^a) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus we have

Theorem 4.3. *For the almost product structure K which is defined from the pseudo- f -structure F on the vector bundle $D^1(M^1)$, the distribution \bar{Q} is completely integrable if and only if the equations (4.4) and (4.5) are satisfied.*

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Matsumoto; *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1.1) satisfying $f^3 - f = 0$* , Bull. of Yamagata Univ. (Nat. Sci.), **9**(1976), 33 - 46.
- [2] I. Sato; *On a structure similar to almost contact structure*, Tensor N. S., **30**, 1976, 219 - 224.
- [3] K. Yano; *Differential geometry on complex and almost complex spaces*, Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [4] K. Yano and S. Ishihara; *Tangent and cotangent bundles*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.

