

REPRESENTATIONS OF DUAL ALGEBRAS AND OPERATORS OF CLASS C_0

H. Bercovici and C. Foias

Dedicated to the memory of Professors Mendel Haimovici, Gheorghe Mihoc,
Grigore C. Moisil, and Tiberiu Popoviciu

1. Introduction

Since S. Brown's seminal paper [1], the study of the weak*-continuous representations of dual algebras has led to significant progress in the study of Hilbert space operators (cf. e.g., [2]). The aim of this note is to emphasize some general features of the theory of these representations, and to connect them with the theory of contractions of class C_0 . The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the concept of an elementary representation, and we prove a general realization theorem generalizing results from [3]. In Section 3 we discuss the representations of quotient algebras of H^∞ , and their relation with the class C_0 . We hope that this modest last part will inspire further research in this area.

2. Remarks on dual algebras

Let A be a complex Banach algebra such that there exists a subspace A_* of the Banach space dual A^* of A with the property that the unit ball of A is $\sigma(A, A_*)$ -compact. Then A endowed with the weak topology $\sigma(A, A_*)$ is called a dual algebra, and that topology is called the w*-topology on A . The duality between A and A_* will be denoted by $\langle u, x \rangle = x(u)$ for u in A and x in A_* . We note that there are algebras A that have several distinct dual algebra structures, e.g., \mathcal{L}^1 . However, several important algebras in operator theory have a unique dual algebra structure. This was proved for von Neuman algebras by Sakai [4], and for H^∞ by Ando [5]. In particular, the algebra $\mathcal{L}(H)$ of all bounded, linear operators on a

Hilbert space \mathcal{H} has a unique w^* -topology, also called the ultraweak topology. More precisely, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})_*$ can be identified with the Banach space $C_1(\mathcal{H})$ of all trace-class operators on \mathcal{H} , and the duality is given by $\langle T, A \rangle = \text{tr}(AT)$ for A in $C_1(\mathcal{H})$ and T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We will use below the obvious fact that for ξ, η in \mathcal{H} , the equality $\phi(T) = (T\xi|\eta)$ defines a w^* -continuous functional on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

A continuous representation $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of a dual algebra A is said to be w^* -continuous if it is continuous from the w^* -topology of A to the w^* -topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

2.1. DEFINITION. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a w^* -continuous representation of the dual algebra A .

(i) ϕ is said to be **elementary** if, given x in A_* , we can find ξ and η in \mathcal{H} satisfying the relation $\langle u, x \rangle = (\phi(u)\xi|\eta)$ for u in A . (Here $(\cdot|\cdot)$ denotes the scalar product on \mathcal{H} .)

(ii) Let n be a cardinal number, $n \leq \aleph_0$. ϕ is said to be **n -elementary** if, given an array $(x_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j < n} \subset A_*$, we can find vectors ξ_i, η_j in \mathcal{H} , $0 \leq i, j < n$, satisfying the relations $\langle u, x_{ij} \rangle = (\phi(u)\xi_i|\eta_j)$ for u in A and $0 \leq i, j < n$.

(iii) ϕ is said to be **completely elementary** if it is elementary for every finite value of n .

It is obvious that ϕ is 1-elementary if and only if ϕ is elementary. It is also easy to see that for finite n , ϕ is n -elementary if and only if the mapping $\phi \otimes I : A \otimes M_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes M_n$ is elementary, where M_n denotes the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices.

Let $A \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a w^* -closed subalgebra. Then A becomes a dual algebra when endowed with the relative w^* -topology. This will be the only dual algebra structure that we consider on A .

2.2. DEFINITION. Let $A \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a w^* -closed algebra. Then A is said to be **elementary** (resp., **n -elementary**, **completely elementary**) if the inclusion map of A into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is elementary (resp., n -elementary, completely elementary).

The concepts introduced in Definition 2.2 were called properties (A_n) in [2]. However (A_1) appeared earlier, under the name (D_6) , in [6]. The terminology adopted here was proposed by Azoff [7].

2.3. LEMMA. Let $\bar{\phi}$ be a w^* -continuous representation of the dual algebra A .

(i) If $\bar{\phi}$ is elementary then $\bar{\phi}$ is one-to-one, bounded below, $\bar{\phi}(A)$ is w^* -closed, and $\bar{\phi}$ is a w^* -homeomorphism between A and $\bar{\phi}(A)$.

(ii) If $\bar{\phi}$ is n -elementary (resp., completely elementary) then $\bar{\phi}(A)$ is n -elementary (resp., completely elementary).

PROOF: Let $\bar{\phi} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$, and let $\phi : C_1(H) \rightarrow A_*$ be the w^* -dual of $\bar{\phi}$. If $\bar{\phi}$ is elementary it follows that ϕ is onto (in fact every element in A_* is of the form $\phi(T)$ with a rank-one operator T). We have a factorization $\phi = \psi p$, where $p : C_1(H) \rightarrow C_1(H)/\ker(\phi)$ denotes the canonical projection and ψ is an isomorphism. Accordingly, we have $\bar{\phi} = p^* \psi^*$, where p^* is the inclusion $(\ker(\phi))^\perp \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$, and ψ^* is a norm and w^* -isomorphism of A onto $\bar{\phi}(A)$. Now (i) follows at once, and (ii) is also an easy consequence of these considerations.

There are two geometric results from [2] that extend to this general context. These results were the starting point for the dilation theory developed in [2] and [3], and we hope they will prove useful even in the general setting. Therefore we include sketches of their proofs.

2.4. DEFINITION. Let $\bar{\phi} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\bar{\psi} : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(K)$ be two w^* -continuous representations of the dual algebra A . We say that $\bar{\psi}$ can be realized in $\bar{\phi}$ if there exists a closed, densely defined, injective, linear map $X : \mathcal{D}(X) \subset K \rightarrow H$ such that $P \bar{\phi}(u)X \subset X \bar{\psi}(u)$, $u \in A$, where P denotes the orthogonal projection of H onto the range of X .

2.5. LEMMA. Let $A, H, K, \bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}, X$, and P be as in Definition 2.4. Then the space $\mathcal{M} = PH$ is semi-invariant for $\bar{\phi}$, i.e., $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{U} \ominus \mathcal{V}$, where \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are invariant for $\bar{\phi}$ and $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

PROOF: By Lemma 0 of [8] it suffices to show that $P \bar{\phi}(uv) | \mathcal{M} = (P \bar{\phi}(u) | \mathcal{M})(P \bar{\phi}(v) | \mathcal{M})$ for all u, v in A . Now, for η in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ we have

$$P \bar{\phi}(uv)X\eta = X \bar{\psi}(uv)\eta = X \bar{\psi}(u) \bar{\psi}(v)\eta = P \bar{\phi}(u)X \bar{\psi}(v)\eta = P \bar{\phi}(u)P \bar{\phi}(v)X \eta,$$
 and the lemma follows because $\mathcal{M} = (X \mathcal{D}(X))^\perp$.

In the sequel it will always be assumed that the Hilbert spaces considered are separable. This will allow us to avoid nonessential technical difficulties.

2.6. THEOREM. Let ϕ and ψ be two w^* -continuous representations of the dual algebra A . If ϕ is \mathfrak{K}_0 -elementary then ψ can be realized in ϕ .

PROOF: Let $\{\xi_i: i \in I\}$ (resp., $\{\eta_j: j \in J\}$) be a cyclic set of minimum cardinality for $\psi(A)$ (resp., $\psi(A)^*$). It is clear that I and J are at most countable. Define elements x_{ij} in A_* by $\langle u, x_{ij} \rangle = (\psi(u) \xi_i | \eta_j)$ for $i \in I, j \in J$ and $u \in A$.

Note that x_{ij} exists because ψ was assumed to be w^* -continuous. Since ϕ is \mathfrak{K}_0 -elementary, we can find vectors $\xi_i^!$ and $\eta_j^!$ such that $\langle u, x_{ij} \rangle = (\phi(u) \xi_i^! | \eta_j^!)$ for $i \in I, j \in J$, and $u \in A$. Denote by \mathcal{U} (resp., \mathcal{W}) the closed linear manifold generated by $\{\phi(u) \xi_i^!: u \in A, i \in I\}$ (resp., $\{\phi(u)^* \eta_j^!: u \in A, j \in J\}$). Set then $V = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{W}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{U} \ominus V$. Finally, denote by P the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{M} .

Let \mathcal{L} be the closed linear span of the set $\{\psi(u) \xi_i \oplus P \phi(u) \xi_i^!: u \in A, i \in I\}$ in $\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. It can be shown that \mathcal{L} is the graph of an operator $X: \mathcal{U}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$

satisfying the requirements of Definition 2.4. This is done by making use of the

relations $(\phi(u) \xi_i^! | \eta_j^!) = (\psi(u) \xi_i | \eta_j), u \in A, i \in I, j \in J$. We only show that \mathcal{L} is the graph of an injective operator or, equivalently, for $v \oplus \mu \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\mu = 0$

if and only if $v = 0$. Indeed, if $v \oplus \mu \in \mathcal{L}$, there exist finitely supported

families $\{u_i^{(n)}: i \in I\} \subset A$ such that $v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} \psi(u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i$ and

$\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} P \phi(u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i^!$. Since the set $\{\psi(v)^* \eta_j: v \in A, j \in J\}$ is total, we

have $v = 0$ if and only if

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} (\psi(u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i | \psi(v)^* \eta_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} (\psi(v u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i | \eta_j), v \in A, j \in J.$$

Using the relations mentioned above, this happens if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} (\phi(v u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i^! | \eta_j^!) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} (\phi(u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i^! | \phi(v)^* \eta_j^!) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in I} (P \phi(u_i^{(n)}) \xi_i^! | \phi(v)^* \eta_j^!) = (\mu | \phi(v)^* \eta_j^!), \quad v \in A, j \in J, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. Since $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, this can happen if and only if $\mu = 0$.

In the previous proof we only used the fact that ϕ is n -elementary, where $n = \max(\text{card}(I), \text{card}(J))$. Therefore we also have the following result.

2.7. THEOREM. Let ϕ and ψ be two w^* -continuous representations of the dual algebra A . If ϕ is elementary, and both $\psi(A)$ and $\psi(A)^*$ have cyclic vector, then ψ can be realized in ϕ .

Let us also note that, if A is \mathfrak{H}_0 -elementary, we have more freedom in choosing the vectors ξ_i in the proof of Theorem 2.6. Since each ξ_i belongs to the domain of X , we can always assume that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ contains any a priori given countable subset of \mathcal{K} .

We conclude this section with several open problems.

2.8. PROBLEM. Let ϕ be a completely elementary representation of a dual algebra. Is ϕ necessarily \mathfrak{H}_0 -elementary?

We mention that Problem 2.8 has an affirmative answer for contractive w^* -continuous representations of H^∞ (cf. [2] and [9]).

2.9. PROBLEM. Given ϕ and ψ as in theorem 2.6, under what conditions can X be chosen to be bounded, bounded below, or an isometry.

If $A = H^\infty$, there are many examples in which X can be chosen to be an isometry (cf. [2] and [3]), but even in that case the complete answer to Problem 2.9 is not known yet.

3. Representations of quotients of H^∞

The questions discussed in Section 2 were extensively studied for isometric w^* -continuous representations of H^∞ . We propose here the study from this point of view of w^* -representations of an algebra of the form H^∞/mH^∞ , where $m \in H^\infty$ is an inner function, i.e., $|m(e^{it})| = 1$ for almost every $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. This quotient algebra can be identified with the dual of $\overline{m}H_0^1/H_0^1$ under the duality $\langle u+mH^\infty, x+H_0^1 \rangle = \langle u, x \rangle$ for $u \in H^\infty$ and $x \in \overline{m}H_0^1$. This is the dual algebra structure which we will consider in the sequel.

Note that H^∞/mH^∞ is different in several respects from H^∞ . Unlike H^∞ , H^∞/mH^∞ is not a sup-norm algebra unless it is one-dimensional. In addition, H^∞/mH^∞ is not semisimple. On the other side, while the representation theory of H^∞ is related with the theory of arbitrary absolutely continuous contractions on Hilbert space, the corresponding theory for the quotients of H^∞ is related with the special class C_0 of contractions. This latter class is much better understood, and therefore

we hope that a fairly complete characterization of the elementary contractive representations of H^∞/mH^∞ can be achieved. In this section we provide some positive evidence in this direction.

We recall now some basic facts from [10] about contractions of class C_0 . An operator T is of class C_0 if it is a completely nonunitary contraction and the ideal $J = \{u: u \in H^\infty, u(T) = 0\}$ contains nonzero functions. The ideal J is then a principal ideal in H^∞ , and as such is generated by an inner function m called the minimal function of T . Given an inner function m , there are operators of class C_0 with minimal function m . The archetypal operator with this property is the so-called Jordan block $S(m)$ defined as follows: $S(m) = PS|_{\mathcal{H}(m)}$, where S denotes the unilateral shift on H^2 , and P denotes the orthogonal projection of H^2 onto the subspace $\mathcal{H}(m) = H^2 \ominus mH^2$.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between contractive w^* -continuous representations of H^∞/mH^∞ , and contractions T of class C_0 such that $m(T) = 0$. More precisely, given T with $m(T) = 0$, the formula $\phi_T(u+mH^\infty) = u(T)$, $u \in H^\infty$, defines a contractive, w^* -continuous representation of H^∞/mH^∞ . Moreover, every such representation ϕ coincides with ϕ_T , where $T = \phi(\chi + mH^\infty)$, and $\chi(\lambda) = \lambda$ for λ in the unit disc.

We will denote by A_T the w^* -closed algebra generated by an operator T . Thus, for an operator T of class C_0 with minimal function m , we can ask whether the representation ϕ_T or the algebra A_T has one of the properties in Definitions 2.1. and 2.2. These properties for ϕ_T and A_T are related by the following easy consequence of Lemma 2.3.

3.1. PROPOSITION. Let T be an operator of class C_0 with minimal function m , and let n be a cardinal number. If ϕ_T is n -elementary then A_T is n -elementary, and

$$(3.2) \quad A_T = \{u(T): u \in H^\infty\}.$$

PROOF: It suffices to apply Lemma 2.3 and to remark that A_T is the w^* -closure of the range of ϕ_T .

It is worthwhile to mention that relation (3.2) does not apply to all operators of class C_0 . To describe the general situation we recall the definition of the meromorphic functional calculus (cf. [10]). Let T be a completely nonunitary contraction on \mathcal{H} , $u, v \in H^\infty$, and $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose that $v(T)$ is a quasi-affinity, i.e., it is one-to-one and has dense range. Then we have $X = (u/v)(T)$ if and only if

$v(T)X = u(T)$. Let us also recall from [10] that, if T is a contraction of class C_0 with minimal function m , $v(T)$ is a quasiaffinity if and only if v and m are relatively prime.

3.3. PROPOSITION. For an operator T of class C_0 we have $A_T = \{T\}''$ and, moreover, A_T consists of all bounded operators X of the form $(u/v)(T)$, where v and the minimal function of T are relatively prime.

PROOF: Denote by ω_T the weakly closed algebra generated by T . It was proved in [11] that $\omega_T = \{T\}''$ consists of all meromorphic functions of T . It remains to be proved that $A_T = \omega_T$. For each operator X denote by X° the orthogonal sum of infinitely many copies of X . Then T° is also of class C_0 , and clearly $\omega_{T^\circ} = A_{T^\circ} = \{X^\circ : X \in A_T\}$ because $X \rightarrow X^\circ$ is a w^* -homeomorphism, and the w^* - and weak topologies coincide on $\{X^\circ : X \in \mathcal{L}(H)\}$. On the other side, $\omega_{T^\circ} = \{T^\circ\}'' = \{X^\circ : X \in \{T\}''\} = \{X^\circ : X \in \omega_T\}$, and the equality $A_T = \omega_T$ follows at once.

The following result was proved by Sarason in [12] (cf. also [13] for another proof).

3.4. PROPOSITION. Let m be an inner function, and $T = S(m)$. Then ϕ_T (and hence A_T) is elementary.

3.5. PROPOSITION. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators such that A_{T_1} and A_{T_2} are n -elementary. Then $A_{T_1 \oplus T_2}$ is also n -elementary.

PROOF: Suppose that $T_j \in \mathcal{L}(H_j)$, and let ϕ be a w^* -continuous functional on $\mathcal{L}(H_1 \oplus H_2)$. Since A_{T_j} is elementary, we can find x_j and y_j in H_j such that $(p(T_1)x_1 | y_1) = \phi(p(T_1) \oplus 0)$ and $(p(T_2)x_2 | y_2) = \phi(0 \oplus p(T_2))$ for all polynomials p . Then, with $x = x_1 \oplus x_2$ and $y = y_1 \oplus y_2$, we have $(p(T_1 \oplus T_2)x | y) = \phi(p(T_1 \oplus T_2))$. This shows that $A_{T_1 \oplus T_2}$ is elementary. The proof of n -elementarity is left to the interested reader.

3.6. PROPOSITION. Let $T = S(m') \oplus S(m'')$ where m' and m'' are two relatively prime inner function, and set $m = m'm''$. Then A_T is elementary, but ϕ_T is elementary if and only if $m'H^\infty + m''H^\infty = H^\infty$.

PROOF: The fact that A_T is elementary follows immediately from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5. Assume that ϕ_T is elementary. Then by Proposition 3.1 there is u in H^∞ such that $I \oplus 0 = u(S(m')) \oplus u(S(m''))$, i.e., $u(S(m')) = I$ and $u(S(m'')) = 0$. Equivalently,

$u-1 \in m'H^\infty$ and $u \in m''H^\infty$ so that $1 = u-(u-1) \in m'H^\infty + m''H^\infty$. Conversely, assume that $m'H^\infty + m''H^\infty = H^\infty$. Then we can prove that $S(m)$ and T are similar, and hence Φ_T is elementary by Proposition 3.4. To show that $S(m)$ and T are similar we choose g' and g'' in H^∞ such that $g'm' + g''m'' = 1$, and set $P = (g''m'')(S(m))$. Since $g''m'' - (g''m'')^2 = g''m''(1-g''m'') = g'g''m'm''$, we deduce that P is a projection, and hence $S(m)$ is similar to $(S(m)|\text{ran } P) \oplus (S(m)|\text{ker } P)$. It is an easy exercise to check that $S(m)|\text{ker } P$ and $S(m)|\text{ran } P$ are unitarily equivalent to $S(m'')$ and $S(m')$, respectively. The proposition is proved.

In the previous proof we used the fact from [20] that $I \oplus 0$ belongs to the algebra $A_{S(m') \oplus S(m'')}$ if m' and m'' are relatively prime.

We see from the preceding result that A_T can be elementary even when Φ_T is not elementary. It is interesting to note that there do exist operators T of class C_0 with a cyclic vector, such that m_T is a Blaschke product and A_T is not elementary. This was proved by Cassier in [14].

For the next result we need to recall a classification result from [15]. Recall first that the operators T and T' are said to be quasisimilar if there exist quasi-affinities X and Y such that $TX = XT'$ and $T'Y = YT$. A Jordan operator is an operator of the form $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} S(m_j)$, where m_j are inner and m_{j+1} divides m_j for all j . For every operator T of class C_0 (on a separable Hilbert space) there exists a unique Jordan operator $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} S(m_j)$ that is quasisimilar with T . The function m_0 is the minimal function of T .

3.7. THEOREM. Let T be an operator of class C_0 with Jordan model $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} S(m_j)$.

If A_T is n -elementary then $m_j = m_0$ for all $j < n$.

PROOF: Assume that T acts on \mathcal{H} . By results in [16], there exists a cyclic subspace $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that $T|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$ has minimal function m_0 . Denote by \mathcal{K} the direct sum of n copies of \mathcal{H}_0 , and let $\Psi: A_T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ be defined by $\Psi(A) = (A|_{\mathcal{H}_0}) \oplus (A|_{\mathcal{H}_0}) \oplus \dots$ (n summands). Then $\Psi(A_T)$ has a cyclic set consisting of n vectors and, by results in [16], $\Psi(A_T)^*$ also has a cyclic set consisting of n vectors. Let Φ denote the inclusion map of A_T into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. By the remark following the proof of Theorem 2.6, Ψ can be realized in Φ . If X is the realization operator, we have $(PT|_{\mathcal{M}})X = XT'$, where $T' = (T|_{\mathcal{H}_0}) \oplus (T|_{\mathcal{H}_0}) \oplus \dots$ (n summands), and $\mathcal{M} = (X\mathcal{K})^-$. Observe at this point that the Jordan model of T' is $S(m_0) \oplus S(m_0) \oplus \dots$ (n summands). Denote by T'' the restriction of $T' \oplus (PT|_{\mathcal{M}})$ to the graph of X . Then T'' is of class C_0 and it

is a quasiaffine transform (cf. [10]) of both $PT|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and T' (the quasiaffinities being just the projections onto the two components). By results of [17] it follows that T' , T'' , and $PT|_{\mathcal{M}}$ all have Jordan model $S(m_0) \oplus S(m_0) \oplus \dots$ (n summands). Since the Jordan model of $PT|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a compression of the Jordan model of T , the conclusion of the theorem follows at once.

3.8. COROLLARY. Let T be an operator of class C_0 . If A_T is 2-elementary then T is reflexive.

PROOF: This follows immediately from [18] since $m_0/m_1 = 1$.

Let us note that Theorem 3.7. and Corollary 3.8 extend results from [19] concerning the case of algebraic operators. The paper [19] also contains other interesting results about algebraic operators.

Problems 2.8 and 2.9 remain open even in the particular context described in this section.

References

1. S. Brown, Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 1(1979), 123-136.
2. H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş, C. Pearcy, Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory, *CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math.*, No. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1985.
3. H. Bercovici, C. Foiaş, C. Pearcy, Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra, *Michigan Math. J.* 30(1983), 335-354.
4. S. Sakai, A characterization of W^* -algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* 6(1956), 763-773.
5. T. Ando, On the predual of H^∞ .
6. D. Hadwin, E. Nordgren, Subalgebras of reflexive algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 7(1982), 3-23.
7. E. Azoff, On finite rank operators and preannihilators, preprint.
8. D. Sarason, On spectral sets having connected complement, *Acta Sci. Math.* (Szeged) 26(1965), 289-299.
9. G. Exner, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Michigan, 1983.
10. B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

11. B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, Commutants and bicommutants of operators of class C_0 , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 38(1976), 311-315.
 12. D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127(1967), 179-203.
 13. S. Rosenoer, Note on operators of class $C_0(1)$, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 46(1983), 287-293.
 14. G. Cassier, Un exemple d'opérateur pour lequel les topologies faible et ultrafaible ne coïncident pas sur l'algèbre duale, preprint.
 15. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, B. Sz.-Nagy, Compléments à l'étude des opérateurs de classe C_0 . III, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 37(1975), 313-322.
 16. B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, Compléments à l'étude des opérateurs de classe C_0 . II, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 33(1971), 113-116.
 17. B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foias, On injections intertwining operators of class C_0 , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 40(1978), 163-167.
 18. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, B. Sz.-Nagy, Reflexive and hyperreflexive operators of class C_0 , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 43(1981), 5-13.
 19. J. Barria, H. Kim, C. Pearcy, Algebraic operators, reflexivity, and the properties $(B_{m,n})$, preprint.
 20. J. Conway, P.Y. Wu, The splitting of $A(T_1 \oplus T_2)$ and related questions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26(1977), 41-56.
-