

ULTIMATE BEHAVIOR IN CERTAIN NONLINEAR  
FEEDBACK SYSTEMS

C. Corduneanu

In this paper we are concerned with the ultimate behavior of solutions of certain nonlinear integrodifferential systems of the form

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (Ax)(t) + C\dot{y}(t) , \\ \dot{y}(t) &= Dx(t) + f(y(t)) , \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  are constant matrices of convenient dimensions,  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $A$  stands for an operator that can be (formally) represented by means of the formula

$$(2) \quad (Ax)(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j x(t - t_j) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s)ds , \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ ,$$

where  $t_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are nonnegative numbers, and  $A_j$ ,  $B$  are such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|A_j\| < +\infty , \quad \|B(t)\| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+) .$$

A somewhat more general system will be also dealt with, namely

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= (Ax)(t) + C(t)\dot{y}(t) , \\ \dot{y}(t) &= Dx(t) + f(y(t)) , \end{aligned}$$

which differs with respect to the system (1) by assuming that  $C$  depends on  $t$ . Therefore, (4) is a time varying system, while (1) is time invariant.

In order to investigate the ultimate behavior of solutions of the systems (1) or (4), we shall transform these systems in equivalent integrodifferential systems which also involve the initial data. Such approach has been already used

by J. Moser [5] (see also [1], Theorem 7.1), The operator  $A$  has been the usual multiplication by a constant matrix. In [3], [4] Moser's result has generalized in order to cover time varying systems.

While the original Moser's result is applicable to the case of systems of the form (1), after reduction to an integrodifferential equation, the investigation of ultimate behavior for systems of the form (4) will be based on the application of our result in [3] for time varying systems (with nonconvolution kernel).

Let us notice that the initial data to be associated with (1) or (4) must be of the form

$$(5) \quad x(t) = h(t), \quad t < 0, \quad x(0) = x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y(0) = y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Further conditions will be specified in regard to  $h(t)$ .

We shall first consider the system (1), under initial data (5). Under rather general assumptions on  $h$ , say  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_-)$ , the first equation of the system (1) leads to the following integral formula [2]:

$$(6) \quad x(t) = X(t)x^0 + (Yh)(t) + \int_0^t X(t-s)C\dot{y}(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

where  $X(t)$  is the unique (a.e.) solution of  $\dot{X}(t) = (AX)(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $X(0+) = I$  (the unit matrix),  $X(t) = 0$  (the zero matrix) for  $t < 0$ , and the operator  $Y$  is defined by the formula

$$(7) \quad (Yh)(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{-t_j}^0 X(t-t_j-u)A_j h(u)du, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The convergence of the series in the right hand side of (7) is uniform on any compact interval of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and in case of asymptotically stable systems (i.e.  $\dot{x}(t) = (Ax)(t)$  is asymptotically stable) one obtains uniform convergence on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (because stability is equivalent to  $X(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  [2]).

If  $x(t)$  given by (6) is substituted in the second equation (1), we obtain

$$(8) \quad \dot{y}(t) = \int_0^t DX(t-s)C\dot{y}(s)ds + f(y(t)) + g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

where

$$(9) \quad g(t) = DX(t)x^0 + D(Yh)(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Of course, we have to associate with the equation (8) the initial condition in (5) related to  $y$ , namely  $y(0) = y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

The integrodifferential equation (8) is of the type investigated by Moser

in [ 5 ] (see also [ 1 ] , Theorem 7.1) , and the only fact that has to be accomplished in order to obtain the result on ultimate behavior is to check the validity of the conditions required by Moser's result . Of course , such conditions will be satisfied only if we make the right assumptions on the system (1) .

First of all , the kernel of the equation (8)

$$(10) \quad k(t) = DX(t)C , t \in R_+ ,$$

has to belong to  $L^2(R_+)$  . Since C and D are by assumption constant matrices , it will suffice to assure  $\|X(t)\| \in L^2(R_+)$  . This last condition holds true for systems  $\dot{x}(t) = (Ax)(t)$  which are asymptotically stable [ 2 ] .

Another condition related to the kernel is the frequency domain condition which we shall write slightly differently than it appears in [ 5 ] ,

$$\operatorname{Re} ( \|\eta\|^2 + \langle \bar{\eta} , \tilde{X}(s)\eta \rangle ) \geq \delta \|\eta\|^2 ,$$

for any real s , and for any complex n-vector  $\eta$  . Taking into account (10) , as well as the formula [ 2 ]

$$\tilde{X}(s) = [sI - A(s)]^{-1} , \operatorname{Re} s \geq 0 ,$$

where

$$(11) \quad A(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \exp(-t_j s) + \int_0^{\infty} B(t) \exp(-ts) dt ,$$

one obtains

$$(12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle \bar{\eta} , D[isI - A(is)]^{-1} \eta \rangle \geq \delta \|\eta\|^2 , s \in R ,$$

for some  $\delta < 1$  , and any complex n-vector  $\eta$  .

One more condition to be checked is

$$(13) \quad g(t) \in C_0(R_+) \cap L^2(R_+) ,$$

with  $g(t)$  given by (9) . Since  $X(t) \in C_0(R_+) \cap L^2(R_+)$  [ 2 ] , it remains to prove the same inclusion for  $(Yh)(t)$  given by (7) . If we notice that

$$(Yh)(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_j} X(t-u) A_j h(u-t_j) du , t \in R_+ ,$$

the stability of the system  $\dot{x}(t) = (Ax)(t)$  ,  $h(t) \in L^1(R_+)$  , and the first condition (3) lead easily to the needed result .

Finally , in regard to  $f(y)$  we have only to state the hypotheses under which Moser's result has been obtained :

$$(14) \quad f(y) = - \operatorname{grad} U(y) , y \in R^m ,$$

where  $U \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ , and

$$(15) \quad \lim U(y) = \infty, \text{ as } \|y\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Summarizing the above discussion in regard to the system (1), we can state the following result:

Theorem 1. Consider the system (1), under conditions (2), (3), and the initial condition (5), and further assume:

a) the system  $\dot{x}(t) = (Ax)(t)$  is asymptotically stable, i.e.

$$(16) \quad \det[sI - A(s)] \neq 0 \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s \geq 0;$$

b) there exists a real number  $\delta$ ,  $\delta < 1$ , such that condition (12) holds true for any complex  $n$ -vector  $\eta$ ;

c)  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is given by (14), with  $U \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying condition (15);

d)  $h(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_-)$ .

Then any solution of system (1), satisfying (5), is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and its limit set coincides with the limit set of a convenient solution of the ordinary differential system

$$(17) \quad \dot{z}(t) = f(z(t)).$$

We shall proceed in the same manner with the time varying system (4), but instead of Moser's result we use the result we have obtained in [3; Theorem 1].

Let us notice, first of all, that the integrodifferential equation equivalent to (4), (5) will be now

$$(18) \quad \dot{y}(t) = \int_0^t DX(t-s)C(s)\dot{y}(s)ds + f(y(t)) + g(t),$$

with exactly the same meaning as in (8) for  $X(t)$  and  $g(t)$ . Of course, one has to substitute  $x(t)$  given by (6) in the second equation of (4), in order to obtain (18). The kernel of the integrodifferential equation (18) is

$$(19) \quad k(t,s) = DX(t-s)C(s),$$

and as one can see it is a nonconvolution kernel if  $C(s) \neq \text{Const}$ .

We have to check now the validity of the conditions in Theorem 1 in [4], in case of the kernel given by (19). The other conditions, regarding  $f(y)$  and  $g(t)$ , do not require different considerations than those already encountered in this paper (in view of obtaining Theorem 1). More precisely, we need to show

that the kernel  $k(t,s)$  given by (19) verifies the following conditions listed in the statement of Theorem 1 in [ 4 ] :

1) there exists  $A > 0$  , such that

$$(20) \quad \int_0^t \|k(t,s)\|^2 ds \leq A^2 , \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ ;$$

2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \|k(t,s)\|^2 ds = 0$  , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  ;

for every fixed  $T > 0$  ;

3) for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  , one has

$$(21) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_0^{t+h} \|k(t+h,s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|k(t+h,s) - k(t,s)\|^2 ds \right) = 0 ;$$

4) there exists  $\delta < 1$  , such that

$$(22) \quad \int_0^t \langle (Kx)(s) + \delta x(s) , x(s) \rangle ds \geq 0 ,$$

for every  $x \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  , and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  , where  $K$  stands for the Volterra linear operator generated by the kernel  $k(t,s)$  :

$$(23) \quad (Kx)(t) = \int_0^t k(t,s)x(s)ds .$$

Let us proceed now in checking that , under adequate conditions on (4) , the kernel  $k(t,s)$  given by (19) verifies all four conditions stated above .

First of all , we will make the assumption that  $C(t)$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  :

$$(24) \quad \|C(t)\| \leq C_0 , \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+ .$$

If we admit again , as in case of Theorem 1 above , that the system  $\dot{x}(t) = (Ax)(t)$  is asymptotically stable , then  $X(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  . For details see Moser [ 5 ] or [ 3 ; Lemma 1 ] . This property of  $X(t)$  , together with (24) , immediately takes care of conditions 1) and 2) formulated above .

Property 3) for the kernel  $k(t,s)$  given by (19) also follows from the fact  $X(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  , and condition (24) imposed on  $C(t)$  , the details being left to the reader .

Finally , condition (4) has to be imposed on the kernel  $k(t,s)$  given by (19) . As remarked earlier [ 3 ] , this condition simply states that the operator  $K + \delta I$  is a positive (or monotone) operator on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  .

We are now prepared to state the result concerning the ultimate behavior

of solutions of the time varying system (4) ,

Theorem 2 . Consider the system (4) , under conditions (2) and (3) for the operator  $A$  , with initial data (5) , and such that (24) takes place for the continuous matrix  $C(t)$  . We will further assume :

- a) the same as in Theorem 1 above ;
- b)  $k(t,s)$  given by (19) verifies the positiveness condition (22) , for some real number  $\delta < 1$  ;
- c) and d) the same as in Theorem 1 above .

Then any solution of the problem is bounded on the positive half-axis , and its limit set agrees with the limit set of a convenient solution of the ordinary differential system (17) .

Both Theorems 1 and 2 provide conditions under which the asymptotic behavior of solutions of some integrodifferential equations (with infinite delay) can be determined by the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain ordinary differential systems . How general is this situation ? Or how representative is it for the whole class of integrodifferential equations in finite dimensional spaces ?

If we take into consideration the fact that the trajectories of integrodifferential equations are curves in the Euclidean space  $R^n$  , as well as the trajectories of the ordinary differential systems (of same dimension) such as (17) , it would not be , perhaps , very surprising to find out that for wider classes of integrodifferential equations the limit behavior of solutions can be adequately investigated by means of certain associated ordinary differential equations .

Without trying now to answer the above problem , let us mention that somewhat more general results than those obtained above could be derived if one uses more sophisticated tools of investigation . For instance , if one considers the system similar to (1)

$$(25) \quad \dot{x}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^0 [d\eta(s)]x(t+s) + Cy(t) \quad , \quad \dot{y}(t) = Dx(t) + f(y(t)) \quad ,$$

where  $\eta(s)$  stands for a matrix-valued function with bounded variation on  $R_-$  , while other quantities have the same meaning as in (1) , then the approach used above can be applied successfully . Indeed , the system (25) is more general than the system (1) by the fact that the measure  $\eta$  can have a singular part . But variation of constants formula are available for such kind of system [ 6 ] , [ 7 ] , and the stability problem is also solved . This approach will require the use of semigroup theory .

Let us also notice that the case of time varying systems which can be obtained from (25) by considering  $C = C(t)$ , can be also dealt with in the same manner we dealt with the system (4).

In regard to the case when more complicated time varying system are considered, say for instance

$$(26) \quad \dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + C(t)\dot{y}(t) \quad , \quad \dot{y}(t) = D(t)x(t) + f(t,y(t)) \quad ,$$

some results in [3] and [4] can be applied. Nevertheless, this case is much more difficult than the cases encompassed by Theorems 1 and 2 above, and only further study of the nonconvolution type integrodifferential equations will probably lead toward more satisfactory solutions.

#### R E F E R E N C E S

- [ 1 ] C.Corduneanu , *Integral Equations and Stability of Feedback Systems* . Academic Press , New York , 1973
- [ 2 ] C.Corduneanu , Functional equations with infinite delay . Bolletino della Unione Matematica Italiana , (4) , 11 (1975) , f. 3 , 173 - 181 .
- [ 3 ] C.Corduneanu , Ultimate behavior of solutions to some nonlinear integrodifferential equations . Libertas Mathematica , IV (1984) , 61 - 72 .
- [ 4 ] C.Corduneanu , and H.Cassago , Jr. , The ultimate behavior for certain nonlinear integrodifferential equations . Journal of Integral Equations (to appear)
- [ 5 ] J.Moser , On nonoscillating networks . Quarterly of Applied Mathematics , XXV (1967) , 1 - 9 .
- [ 6 ] O.J.Staffans , The initial function and forcing function semigroups generated by a functional equation . Report HTKK - MAT - A206 (1983)
- [ 7 ] O.J.Staffans , Semigroups generated by a neutral functional differential equation . Report HTKK - MAT - A211 (1983)

