

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF SOLUTIONS TO A NONLINEAR HYPERBOLIC PROBLEM

RODICA LUCA

Abstract. We study the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions to a nonlinear hyperbolic system which occurs in integrated circuits modelling.

Keywords: hyperbolic system, maximal monotone operator, Cauchy problem, ω -limit sets.

AMS Subject Classification: 35L50, 47H05.

1 Introduction

We consider the following nonlinear hyperbolic system

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial i_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x} + \alpha_k(x, i_k) = f_k(t, x) \\ \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial i_k}{\partial x} + \beta_k(x, v_k) = g_k(t, x), \\ t > 0, \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \end{cases}$$

with the boundary condition

$$(BC) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{col}(i_1(t, 0), -i_1(t, 1), \dots, i_n(t, 0), -i_n(t, 1)) \\ S(\text{col}(w'_1(t), \dots, w'_m(t))) \end{array} \right) \in \\ & \in -G \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{col}(v_1(t, 0), v_1(t, 1), \dots, v_n(t, 0), v_n(t, 1)) \\ \text{col}(w_1(t), \dots, w_m(t)) \end{array} \right), \quad t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the initial data

$$(IC) \quad \begin{cases} i_k(0, x) = i_{k0}(x), \quad v_k(0, x) = v_{k0}(x), \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \\ w_j(0) = w_{j0}, \quad j = \overline{1, m}. \end{cases}$$

This problem has applications in the theory of integrated circuits and hydraulics (see [2,3]). The existence, uniqueness and some regularity properties of the strong and weak solutions to the problem (S), (BC), (IC) have been investigated in [2]. In this paper we shall study the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions under some assumptions on the functions $\alpha_k, \beta_k, k = \overline{1, n}$ and on the operator G . For the basic concepts and results in the theory of monotone operators and nonlinear evolution equations of monotone type we refer the reader to [1,4].

2 Results

We introduce the assumptions that we shall use in this paper

$$(A1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) The functions } x \rightarrow \alpha_k(x, p) \text{ and } x \rightarrow \beta_k(x, p) \text{ are in } L^2(0, 1) \text{ for any} \\ \text{fixed } p \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Besides the functions } p \rightarrow \alpha_k(x, p) \text{ and } p \rightarrow \beta_k(x, p) \\ \text{are continuous from } \mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), k \in \overline{1, n}. \\ \text{(b) The functions } p \rightarrow \alpha_k(x, p) \text{ and } p \rightarrow \beta_k(x, p) \text{ are nondecreasing from} \\ \mathbb{R} \text{ to } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), k \in \overline{1, n}. \\ \text{(c) The functions } p \rightarrow \alpha_k(x, p) \text{ and } p \rightarrow \beta_k(x, p) \text{ are (strictly) increasing} \\ (\alpha_k(x, p_1) - \alpha_k(x, p_2))(p_1 - p_2) > 0, \\ (\beta_k(x, p_1) - \beta_k(x, p_2))(p_1 - p_2) > 0, \\ \text{for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), (\forall) p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}, p_1 \neq p_2, k \in \overline{1, n}. \\ \text{(d) There exist } a_1, a_2 > 0 \text{ such that} \\ (\alpha_k(x, p_1) - \alpha_k(x, p_2))(p_1 - p_2) \geq a_1(p_1 - p_2)^2, \\ (\beta_k(x, p_1) - \beta_k(x, p_2))(p_1 - p_2) \geq a_2(p_1 - p_2)^2, \\ \text{for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), (\forall) p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \overline{1, n}. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(A2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } G : D(G) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+m} \text{ is a maximal monotone mapping} \\ \text{(possibly multivalued), } D(G) \neq \emptyset. \text{ Moreover, } G = \begin{pmatrix} G_{11} & G_{12} \\ G_{21} & G_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with} \\ G_{11} : D(G_{11}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad G_{12} : D(G_{12}) \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \\ G_{21} : D(G_{21}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad G_{22} : D(G_{22}) \subset \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m. \\ \text{(b) If } x, y \in D(G), x = \text{col}(x^a, x^b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m, y = \text{col}(y^a, y^b) \in \\ \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ with } x^b \neq y^b \text{ and } w_1 \in G(x), w_2 \in G(y), \text{ then} \\ \langle w_1 - w_2, x - y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+m}} > 0. \\ \text{(c) There exists } K > 0 \text{ such that } (\forall) x, y \in D(G), x = \text{col}(x^a, x^b), \\ y = \text{col}(y^a, y^b) \text{ and } (\forall) w_1 \in G(x), w_2 \in G(y) \text{ we have} \\ \langle w_1 - w_2, x - y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+m}} \geq K \|x^b - y^b\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(A3) \quad S = \text{diag}(s_1, \dots, s_m) \quad \text{with } s_j > 0, j = \overline{1, m}.$$

Remark. Our assumption (A2)a is a technical one and it is automatically satisfied if G is a matrix.

First, we present some existence and uniqueness results for the solutions of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) obtained in [2,3]. We consider the following spaces $X = (L^2(0, 1))^{2n}$, \mathbb{R}^m and $Y = X \times \mathbb{R}^m$ with the corresponding scalar products

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_X &= \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \int_0^1 f_k(x) g_k(x) dx, \quad f = \text{col}(f_1, \dots, f_{2n}), \quad g = \text{col}(g_1, \dots, g_{2n}) \in X, \\ \langle x, y \rangle_s &= \sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i y_i, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_Y &= (f, g)_X + \langle x, y \rangle_s, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f \\ x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

We define the operator $A : D(A) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$,

$$D(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \in Y; i_k, v_k \in H^1(0,1), k = \overline{1, n}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \in D(G) \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \gamma_1 i \in -G_{11}(\gamma_0 v) - G_{12}(w) \right\},$$

where $i = \text{col}(i_1, \dots, i_n)$, $v = \text{col}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, $w = \text{col}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$,
 $\gamma_1 i = \text{col}(i_1(0), -i_1(1), \dots, i_n(0), -i_n(1))$, $\gamma_0 v = \text{col}(v_1(0), v_1(1), \dots, v_n(0), v_n(1))$,

$$A \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{col}(v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_n) \\ \text{col}(i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_n) \\ S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 v) + S^{-1}G_{22}(w) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also define the operator $B : D(B) \subset Y \rightarrow Y$

$$B \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{col}(\alpha_1(\cdot, i_1), \alpha_2(\cdot, i_2), \dots, \alpha_n(\cdot, i_n)) \\ \text{col}(\beta_1(\cdot, v_1), \beta_2(\cdot, v_2), \dots, \beta_n(\cdot, v_n)) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D(B) = \{u \in Y, u = \text{col}(i, v, w); B(u) \in Y\}.$$

Remark. Under the assumptions (A1)ab, (A2)a, (A3) we can show that $\overline{D(A)} = X \times \overline{D(G_{12})} \cap \overline{D(G_{22})}^{\mathbb{R}^m}$ and $D(A) \subset D(B)$, (see [3]).

Lemma 2.1. *If (A1)ab, (A2)a and (A3) hold, then the operator $A+B$ is maximal monotone.*

For the proof of Lemma 2.1 see [2].

Using the operators A and B our problem (S), (BC), (IC) can be equivalently expressed as the following Cauchy problem in the space Y

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} + A \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} i \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \ni \begin{pmatrix} f(t, \cdot) \\ g(t, \cdot) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, t > 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} i(0) \\ v(0) \\ w(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i^0 \\ v^0 \\ w^0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases}$$

where $f = \text{col}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $g = \text{col}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$, $i^0 = \text{col}(i_{10}, \dots, i_{n0})$, $v^0 = \text{col}(v_{10}, \dots, v_{n0})$,
 $w^0 = \text{col}(w_{10}, \dots, w_{m0})$.

We say that $u = \text{col}(i, v, w)$ is a strong (weak) solution for the problem (S), (BC), (IC) if u is a strong (respectively weak) solution for the problem (P)₁ (see [1, Ch.III]).

Theorem 2.1. *a) Assume that (A1)ab, (A2)a and (A3) hold. If $i_{k0}, v_{k0} \in H^1(0,1)$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, $w^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 v^0 \\ w^0 \end{pmatrix} \in D(G)$, $\gamma_1 i^0 \in -G_{11}(\gamma_0 v^0) - G_{12}(w^0)$ and $f_k, g_k \in W^{1,1}(0, T; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ (with $T > 0$ fixed), then the problem (S), (BC), (IC) has a unique strong solution $u = \text{col}(i, v, w) \in W^{1,\infty}(0, T; Y)$. Moreover*

$$i_k, v_k \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \text{ hence } i_k, v_k \in L^\infty((0, T) \times (0, 1)), k = \overline{1, n}. \quad (1)$$

b) Assume that (A1)ab, (A2)a and (A3) hold. If $i_{k0}, v_{k0} \in L^2(0, 1)$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, $w^0 \in \overline{D(G_{12})} \cap \overline{D(G_{22})}$ and $f_k, g_k \in L^1(0, T; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ (with $T > 0$ fixed), then the problem (S), (BC), (IC) has a unique weak solution $u = \text{col}(i, v, w) \in C([0, T]; Y)$.

For the proof of Theorem 2.1 see [2].

Theorem 2.2. Assume that (A1)ad, (A2)ac and (A4) hold. Then the equation (the stationary problem)

$$\mathcal{A}(u) + \mathcal{B}(u) \ni 0 \quad (2)$$

has a unique solution $u = \text{col}(i, v, w) \in D(\mathcal{A})$

Lemma 2.2. If (A1)ab, (A2)a and (A3) hold, then for every $\lambda > 0$ the operator $(I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1}$ is compact in the space Y .

The first asymptotic result for the solutions of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) is

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (A1)ac, (A2)ab and (A3) hold and $i_{k0}, v_{k0} \in L^2(0, 1)$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, $w^0 \in \overline{D(G_{12})} \cap \overline{D(G_{22})}$, $f_k, g_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. In addition, suppose that there exists a solution to the stationary problem (2). Then, this solution is unique, denoted by $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$ and the weak solution $\{u(t) = \text{col}(i(t), v(t), w(t)), t \geq 0\}$ of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) satisfies

$$u(t) \rightarrow \gamma, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } Y. \quad (3)$$

If $\text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$, $f_k, g_k \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, then the strong solution $\{u(t) = \text{col}(i(t), v(t), w(t)), t \geq 0\}$ of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) also satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} i_k(t, \cdot) &\rightarrow p_k, \quad v_k(t, \cdot) \rightarrow q_k, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \\ &\text{for } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ strongly in } C([0, 1]), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

(eventually on some subsequences).

Remark. Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold and $u^0 = \text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$ be the unique solution of the equation (2) and $u = \text{col}(i, v, w)$ the strong solution of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) with $f = g \equiv 0$. Then, using {[4], Theor.2.6, p.116} we have

$$\|u(t) - \gamma\|_Y \leq e^{-C_0 t} \|u^0 - \gamma\|_Y, \quad t \geq 0,$$

(see the proof of Theorem 2.2).

Remark. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, using (3) we deduce that the average σ_u of the solution $u = \text{col}(i, v, w)$, that is

$$\sigma_u(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t u(s) ds$$

converges to γ , as $t \rightarrow \infty$, in Y .

To present the following asymptotic result for the solutions of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) we introduce the assumptions

$$(A4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) The functions } p \rightarrow \alpha_k(x, p), \quad k = \overline{1, n} \text{ are (strictly) increasing, for a.a.} \\ \quad x \in (0, 1). \\ \text{(b) } G \text{ satisfies the condition} \\ \quad \text{If } G(\text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, z_{2n+1}, \dots, z_{2n+m})) \cap \\ \quad \quad \cap G(\text{col}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, z_{2n+1}, \dots, z_{2n+m})) \neq \emptyset \\ \quad \text{then } x_{2i} = y_{2i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \text{ or } x_{2i-1} = y_{2i-1}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}. \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

$$(A5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) The functions } p \rightarrow \beta_k(x, p), \quad k = \overline{1, n} \text{ are (strictly) increasing, for a.a.} \\ \quad x \in (0, 1). \\ \text{(b) } G^{-1} \text{ satisfies (5).} \end{array} \right.$$

Theorem 2.4. Assume that (A1)ab, (A2)ab, (A3) and [(A4) or (A5)] hold and $i_{k0}, v_{k0} \in L^2(0, 1)$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, $w^0 \in D(G_{12}) \cap D(G_{22})$, $f_k, g_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. In addition, suppose that there exists a solution of the equation (2). Then this solution is unique, denoted by $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$ and the weak solution $\{u(t) = \text{col}(i(t), v(t), w(t)), t \geq 0\}$ of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) satisfies

$$u(t) \rightarrow \gamma, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } Y.$$

If $\text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $f_k, g_k \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, then occurs also (4).

Remark. If the assumption (5) is replaced by the following weakly condition

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{If } G(\text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, z_{2n+1}, \dots, z_{2n+m})) \cap \\ \cap G(\text{col}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, z_{2n+1}, \dots, z_{2n+m})) \neq \emptyset \\ \text{and } x_{2i-1} - y_{2i-1} = x_{2i} - y_{2i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \\ \text{then } x_{2i} = y_{2i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \text{ or } x_{2i-1} = y_{2i-1}, \quad i = \overline{1, n} \end{array} \right\} \quad (6)$$

the conclusions of the Theorem 4 remain also true.

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We suppose without loss of generality that G is single-valued. Under the assumptions of the theorem, the operator $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ is strongly monotone. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(u) - (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(\tilde{u}), u - \tilde{u} \rangle_Y = \\ & = \langle G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 v \\ w \end{pmatrix} - G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \tilde{v} \\ \tilde{w} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0(v - \tilde{v}) \\ w - \tilde{w} \end{pmatrix} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+m}} + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\alpha_k(x, i_k(x)) - \alpha_k(x, \tilde{i}_k(x))] \cdot [i_k(x) - \tilde{i}_k(x)] dx + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\beta_k(x, v_k(x)) - \beta_k(x, \tilde{v}_k(x))] \cdot [v_k(x) - \tilde{v}_k(x)] dx \geq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq K \|w - \tilde{w}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 + a_1 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 |i_k(x) - \tilde{i}_k(x)|^2 dx + a_2 \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 |v_k(x) - \tilde{v}_k(x)|^2 dx \geq \\ &\geq C_0 \|u - \tilde{u}\|_Y^2, \quad (C_0 > 0), \quad (\forall) u = \text{col}(i, v, w), \quad \tilde{u} = \text{col}(\tilde{i}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) \in D(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

So, the operator $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ is coercive and then $R(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) = Y$. Therefore, we deduce that the equation (2) has a unique solution $u = \text{col}(i, v, w) \in D(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Suppose again that G is single-valued. Let be $\lambda > 0$ and $M = \{\text{col}(a^i, b^i, c^i); i \in I\}$ a bounded set in Y . We shall prove that $(I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1}(M)$ is a bounded set in the space $(H^1(0, 1))^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m$. For, because the operator $(I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1}$ is nonexpansive in Y , we firstly deduce that the set $\{\text{col}(p^i, q^i, r^i); i \in I\}$ is bounded in Y , where

$$\begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ q^i \\ r^i \end{pmatrix} = (I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a^i \\ b^i \\ c^i \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^i \\ b^i \\ c^i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ q^i \\ r^i \end{pmatrix} + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}) \begin{pmatrix} p^i \\ q^i \\ r^i \end{pmatrix}, \quad i \in I$$

The last relation is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} a_k^i = p_k^i + \lambda[(q_k^i)' + \alpha_k(x, p_k^i(x))] \\ b_k^i = q_k^i + \lambda[(p_k^i)' + \beta_k(x, q_k^i(x))], \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \\ c_j^i = r_j^i + \lambda[S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q^i) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r^i)]_j, \quad j = \overline{1, m} \\ \gamma_1 p^i = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 q^i) - G_{12}(r^i) \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} p_k^i + (q_k^i)' + \alpha_k(x, p_k^i(x)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} a_k^i \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} q_k^i + (p_k^i)' + \beta_k(x, q_k^i(x)) = \frac{1}{\lambda} b_k^i, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} r_j^i + [S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q^i) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r^i)]_j = \frac{1}{\lambda} c_j^i, \quad j = \overline{1, m} \\ \gamma_1 p^i = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 q^i) - G_{12}(r^i). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

In what follows we shall prove that the sets $\{(p_k^i)'; i \in I\}$ and $\{(q_k^i)'; i \in I\}$ are bounded in $L^1(0, 1)$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. To this purpose we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^i(x) &= \begin{cases} (q_k^i)'(x)/|(q_k^i)'(x)|, & \text{if } (q_k^i)'(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } (q_k^i)'(x) = 0, \end{cases} \\ g_k^i(x) &= \begin{cases} (p_k^i)'(x)/|(p_k^i)'(x)|, & \text{if } (p_k^i)'(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } (p_k^i)'(x) = 0, \end{cases} \\ &0 < x < 1, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad i \in I. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply the equations (7)_{1,2} by $f_k^i(x) + p_k^i(x) - i_k^0(x)$ and $g_k^i(x) + q_k^i(x) - v_k^0(x)$ respectively ($\text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$). Then integrating over $[0, 1]$, we obtain, after some computations, that

$$\int_0^1 |(q_k^i)'(x)| dx \leq - \int_0^1 [p_k^i(x) - i_k^0(x)] \cdot [(q_k^i)'(x) - v_k^0(x)]' dx + \text{const.}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad (\forall) i \in I \quad (8)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |(p_k^i)'(x)| dx \leq - \int_0^1 [q_k^i(x) - v_k^0(x)] \cdot [(p_k^i)'(x) - i_k^0(x)]' dx + \text{const.}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad (\forall) i \in I, \quad (9)$$

(const. is a positive constant).

Next, we subtract the relations

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} r_j^i + [S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q^i) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r^i)]_j = \frac{1}{\lambda} c_j^i,$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} w_j^0 + [S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 v^0) + S^{-1}G_{22}(w^0)]_j =: \frac{1}{\lambda} \tilde{\delta}_j^0, \quad (j = \overline{1, m}),$$

we multiply the obtained relation by $s_j(r_j^i - w_j^0)$ and then by adding ($j = \overline{1, m}$), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \|r^i - w^0\|_s^2 + \langle G_{21}(\gamma_0 q^i) + G_{22}(r^i) - G_{21}(\gamma_0 v^0) - G_{22}(w^0), r^i - w^0 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \text{const.}, \quad (\forall) i \in I. \quad (10)$$

By (8), (9) and (10) we deduce

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left[\int_0^1 (|(q_k^i)'| + |(p_k^i)'|) dx \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda} \|r^i - w^0\|_s^2 \leq \text{const.}, \quad (\forall) i \in I$$

Therefore, the sets $\{(p_k^i)'; i \in I\}$ and $\{(q_k^i)'; i \in I\}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ are bounded in $L^1(0, 1)$. Because the sets $\{p_k^i; i \in I\}$ and $\{q_k^i; i \in I\}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ are bounded in $L^2(0, 1)$, it follows that $\{p_k^i; i \in I\}$ and $\{q_k^i; i \in I\}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ are bounded in $C([0, 1])$. Using (A1)ab we deduce that the sets $\{\alpha_k(\cdot, p_k^i); i \in I\}$ and $\{\beta_k(\cdot, q_k^i); i \in I\}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ are bounded in $L^2(0, 1)$. Therefore, by (7)_{1,2} we obtain that the sets $\{(p_k^i)'; i \in I\}$ and $\{(q_k^i)'; i \in I\}$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ are bounded in $L^2(0, 1)$. Hence the set $\{\text{col}(p^i, q^i, r^i); i \in I\}$ is bounded in $(H^1(0, 1))^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ and so the operator $(I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1}$ is compact in Y . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Assume that G is single-valued. Using {[4], Theor.1.3, p.77} and {[4], Propo.2.4, p.107} it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $f = g \equiv 0$ and $u^0 = \text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$u(t) = \text{col}(i(t), v(t), w(t)) = S(t)u^0, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ is the semigroup generated by $-(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})$. Because the operator $(I + \lambda(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1}$ is compact in the space Y (Lemma 2.2) and $(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})^{-1}(0) \neq 0$, by {[4], Propo.2.5, p.108} we deduce that the set $\{S(t)u^0; t \geq 0\}$ is relatively compact in Y .

Using the assumptions of the theorem, we obtain that the operator $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$ is strictly monotone. So, the stationary problem (2) has a unique solution, denoted by $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$. Using {[4], Corollary 2.2, p.126} we conclude that

$$S(t)u^0 \rightarrow \gamma, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in } Y.$$

In what follows we suppose that $u^0 = \text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $f_k, g_k \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. We shall prove that the sets

$$\{i_k(t, \cdot); t \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{v_k(t, \cdot); t \geq 0\} \quad \text{are bounded in } H^1(0, 1), \quad k = \overline{1, n}. \quad (11)$$

In this case $\{u(t, \cdot) = \text{col}(i(t, \cdot), v(t, \cdot), w(t)); t \geq 0\}$ is the strong solution of the problem (S), (BC), (IC) and

$$\left\| \frac{d^+ u}{dt}(t, \cdot) \right\|_Y \leq \|(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(u^0) - F(0, \cdot)\|_Y + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{dF}{ds}(s, \cdot) \right\|_Y ds,$$

where $F(t, \cdot) = \text{col}(f(t, \cdot), g(t, \cdot), 0)$.

Therefore the set

$$\left\{ \frac{d^+ u}{dt}(t, \cdot), t > 0 \right\} \text{ is bounded in } Y. \quad (12)$$

Using now the inequality

$$\|u(t, \cdot) - \gamma\|_Y \leq \|u^0 - \gamma\|_Y + \int_0^t \|F(s, \cdot)\|_Y ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

we deduce that the set

$$\{u(t, \cdot); t \geq 0\} \text{ is bounded in } Y. \quad (13)$$

By the equation (P)₁ we obtain

$$u(t, \cdot) = (I + (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}))^{-1} \left(u(t, \cdot) - \frac{d^+ u}{dt}(t, \cdot) + F(t, \cdot) \right), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

Because $f_k, g_k \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(0, 1))$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, by the relations (12), (13), (14) and Lemma 2.2, we deduce (11). So, by (3) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} i_k(t, \cdot) &\rightarrow p_k, \text{ weakly in } H^1(0, 1), \\ v_k(t, \cdot) &\rightarrow q_k, \text{ weakly in } H^1(0, 1), \\ i_k(t, x) &\rightarrow p_k(x), \text{ uniformly in } x \in [0, 1], \\ v_k(t, x) &\rightarrow q_k(x), \text{ uniformly in } x \in [0, 1], \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \\ w_j(t) &\rightarrow r_j, \quad j = \overline{1, m}, \end{aligned}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (eventually on some subsequences). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Suppose again that G is single-valued. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, it is sufficient to prove the theorem in the case $f = g \equiv 0$ and $u^0 = \text{col}(i^0, v^0, w^0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$. Using Lemma 2.2 and $\{[4], \text{Propo.2.5, p.108}\}$ we also deduce that the orbit through u^0 of the semigroup $S(t)$, $\gamma(u^0) = \{S(t)(u^0); t \geq 0\}$ is relatively compact in the space Y . Denote by F the set of the equilibrium points of the semigroup $S(t)$, that is $F = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})^{-1}(0) (\neq \emptyset)$. We shall prove that F consists of a single element. For this purpose, let be $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$, $\tilde{\gamma} = \text{col}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{r}) \in F$. Then we have

$$((\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(\gamma) - (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B})(\tilde{\gamma}), \gamma - \tilde{\gamma})_Y = 0.$$

By the definitions of the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the above relation gives us

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \text{col}(q'_1, \dots, q'_n) + \text{col}(\alpha_1(\cdot, p_1), \dots, \alpha_n(\cdot, p_n)) \\ \text{col}(p'_1, \dots, p'_n) + \text{col}(\beta_1(\cdot, q_1), \dots, \beta_n(\cdot, q_n)) \\ S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \text{col}(\bar{q}'_1, \dots, \bar{q}'_n) + \text{col}(\alpha_1(\cdot, \bar{p}_1), \dots, \alpha_n(\cdot, \bar{p}_n)) \\ \text{col}(\bar{p}'_1, \dots, \bar{p}'_n) + \text{col}(\beta_1(\cdot, \bar{q}_1), \dots, \beta_n(\cdot, \bar{q}_n)) \\ S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 \bar{q}) + S^{-1}G_{22}(\bar{r}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \text{col}(p_1 - \bar{p}_1, \dots, p_n - \bar{p}_n) \\ \text{col}(q_1 - \bar{q}_1, \dots, q_n - \bar{q}_n) \\ \text{col}(r_1 - \bar{r}_1, \dots, r_m - \bar{r}_m) \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_Y = 0, \quad (15)$$

with $\gamma_1 p = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 q) - G_{12}(r)$, $\gamma_1 \bar{p} = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 \bar{q}) - G_{12}(\bar{r})$. (16)

The relation (15) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 (q_k - \bar{q}_k)'(p_k - \bar{p}_k) dx + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 (q_k - \bar{q}_k)(p_k - \bar{p}_k)' dx + \\ & + \langle S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r) - S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 \bar{q}) - S^{-1}G_{22}(\bar{r}), r - \bar{r} \rangle_s + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\alpha_k(x, p_k(x)) - \alpha_k(x, \bar{p}_k(x))] \cdot [p_k(x) - \bar{p}_k(x)] dx + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\beta_k(x, q_k(x)) - \beta_k(x, \bar{q}_k(x))] \cdot [q_k(x) - \bar{q}_k(x)] dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 q \\ r \end{pmatrix} - G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \bar{q} \\ \bar{r} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0(q - \bar{q}) \\ r - \bar{r} \end{pmatrix} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{2n+m}} + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\alpha_k(x, p_k(x)) - \alpha_k(x, \bar{p}_k(x))] \cdot [p_k(x) - \bar{p}_k(x)] dx + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_0^1 [\beta_k(x, q_k(x)) - \beta_k(x, \bar{q}_k(x))] \cdot [q_k(x) - \bar{q}_k(x)] dx = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with $p_k, \bar{p}_k, q_k, \bar{q}_k \in H^1(0, 1)$; $r, \bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 q \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \bar{q} \\ \bar{r} \end{pmatrix} \in D(G)$ and (16).

Using the monotonicity of the operator G and of the functions α_k and β_k , we obtain by (17)

$$(\alpha_k(x, p_k(x)) - \alpha_k(x, \bar{p}_k(x)))(p_k(x) - \bar{p}_k(x)) = 0, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), \quad (18)$$

$$(\beta_k(x, q_k(x)) - \beta_k(x, \bar{q}_k(x)))(q_k(x) - \bar{q}_k(x)) = 0, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1) \quad (19)$$

and by (A2)b it follows that $r = \bar{r}$.

Suppose firstly that (A4) hold. Then, by (18) we deduce that $p_k = \bar{p}_k$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ and using the relations

$$\begin{cases} q'_k + \alpha_k(\cdot, p_k) = 0 \\ p'_k + \beta_k(\cdot, q_k) = 0, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \\ S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 q) + S^{-1}G_{22}(r) = 0 \\ \gamma_1 p = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 q) - G_{12}(r) \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} \bar{q}'_k + \alpha_k(\cdot, \bar{p}_k) = 0 \\ \bar{p}'_k + \beta_k(\cdot, \bar{q}_k) = 0, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \\ S^{-1}G_{21}(\gamma_0 \bar{q}) + S^{-1}G_{22}(\bar{r}) = 0 \\ \gamma_1 \bar{p} = -G_{11}(\gamma_0 \bar{q}) - G_{12}(\bar{r}) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

we obtain

$$q'_k = \bar{q}'_k, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \quad (21)$$

$$\text{and } G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 q \\ r \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \bar{q} \\ r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Using the condition (5) and (22) we deduce

$$q_k(0) = \bar{q}_k(0), \quad k = \overline{1, n} \quad (23)$$

$$\text{or } q_k(1) = \bar{q}_k(1), \quad k = \overline{1, n}. \quad (24)$$

By {(21) and (23)} or {(21) and (24)} it follows that $q = \bar{q}$. So $\text{col}(p, q, r) = \text{col}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r})$, that is F is a singleton.

Now suppose (A5) hold. By (19) we deduce that $q_k = \bar{q}_k$, $k = \overline{1, n}$ and by the relations (20) we obtain

$$p'_k = \bar{p}'_k, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \quad (25)$$

$$\text{and } G^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap G^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_1 \bar{p} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \emptyset \quad (26)$$

Using the condition (5) for the operator G^{-1} and (26) we deduce

$$p_k(0) = \bar{p}_k(0), \quad k = \overline{1, n} \quad (27)$$

$$\text{or } p_k(1) = \bar{p}_k(1), \quad k = \overline{1, n}. \quad (28)$$

By {(25) and (27)} or {(25) and (28)} it follows that $p_k = \bar{p}_k$, $k = \overline{1, n}$. So, $\text{col}(p, q, r) = \text{col}(\bar{p}, \bar{q}, \bar{r})$, that is F is a singleton.

Therefore we proved that under the assumptions (A4) or (A5), $F = \{\gamma\}$, $\gamma = \text{col}(p, q, r)$. In what follows, let us denote by

$$\omega(u^0) = \{\sigma \in Y; (\exists) t_m \rightarrow \infty \text{ such that } S(t_m)u^0 \rightarrow \sigma, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ strongly in } Y\}.$$

By {[4], Theor.2.8, p.121} we deduce that the set $\omega(u^0) \subset D(\mathcal{A})$. Let be $\sigma = \text{col}(a, b, c) \in \omega(u^0)$ arbitrary, but fixed for the time being. Then $S(t)\sigma$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} S(t)\sigma + (\mathcal{A} + B)(S(t)\sigma) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (29)$$

On the other hand, {[4], Theor.2.7, p.118} gives us

$$\|S(t)\sigma - \gamma\|_Y = \|\sigma - \gamma\|_Y = \text{const.}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (30)$$

We multiply the equation (29) by $S(t)\sigma - \gamma$ in the space Y and using (30) we obtain

$$\langle (\mathcal{A} + B)(S(t)\sigma), S(t)\sigma - \gamma \rangle_Y = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (31)$$

We denote by $\tilde{u}(t, \cdot) = \text{col}(\tilde{i}(t, \cdot), \tilde{v}(t, \cdot), \tilde{w}(t)) = S(t)\sigma$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the relation (31) is equivalent to

$$\langle (\mathcal{A} + B)(\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)) - (\mathcal{A} + B)(\gamma), \tilde{u}(t, \cdot) - \gamma \rangle_Y = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (32)$$

By the same arguments used above, (32) gives us that $\tilde{w}(t) = r$, $(\forall) t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, so, in particular, $c = r$ and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$(\alpha_k(x, \tilde{i}_k(t, x)) - \alpha_k(x, p_k(x)))(\tilde{i}_k(t, x) - p_k(x)) = 0, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1), \quad (33)$$

$$(\beta_k(x, \tilde{v}_k(t, x)) - \beta_k(x, q_k(x)))(\tilde{v}_k(t, x) - q_k(x)) = 0, \text{ for a.a. } x \in (0, 1). \quad (34)$$

Suppose the assumption (A4) hold. Then, by (33) we obtain $\tilde{i}_k(t, \cdot) = p_k$, $(\forall) t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $k = \overline{1, n}$; in particular $a_k = p_k$, $k = \overline{1, n}$, so $a = p$ and using (29) we deduce that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}_k}{\partial x}(t, \cdot) = q'_k, \quad k = \overline{1, n} \quad (35)$$

$$\text{and } G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \tilde{v}(t, \cdot) \\ r \end{pmatrix} = G \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 q \\ r \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

Using the condition (5), by the above relations we deduce that $\tilde{v}(t, \cdot) = q$, $(\forall) t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, in particular $b = q$. So, $\text{col}(a, b, c) = \text{col}(p, q, r) \Leftrightarrow \sigma = \gamma$. The case (A5) is treated in a similar manner. Therefore in the both situations we obtain that $\omega(u^0) = \{\gamma\}$, that is

$$u(t) \rightarrow \gamma, \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in } Y.$$

The last part of the theorem results using a similar reasoning as used in the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

References

1. V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1976.
2. R. Luca, *An existence result for a nonlinear hyperbolic system*, Diff. Integral Equations, 8 (1995), pp. 887-900.
3. R. Luca, *Probleme la limită pentru sisteme cu derivate parțiale de tip hiperbolic și aplicații*, Doctoral Thesis, Department of Mathematics, "Al.I. Cuza" University of Iași, 1995.
4. G. Moroşanu, *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Applications*, D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1988.

